
"Do not meddle in the affairs of wizards, for they are subtle and quick to anger." -- Ancient Elvish saying

BSOUT
(Why yes, I am_ re-reading Lord of The Rings, how did you know? Sure, the movie is pretty good, not the same as the book, but probably the best you could do, although why does every Hollywood evil creature essentially come from Night of the Living Dead? But hey, the elves actually looked like elves. Black Hawk Down is really good too, and... oh, sorry!)

Hoo-ah! Welcome to another issue of C=Hacking!
There's lots of nifty stuff to get to so this will be brief. First, the "Hacking Exchange" is now up at the Official Unofficial C=Hacking Homepage:
http://www.ffd2.com/fridge/chacking/
It's just a simple message board, with the idea that $\mathrm{C}=$ Hacking types can make comments, ask questions, and otherwise talk to one another. Check it out!

Second, if you have any projects you're working on... please contact me, and consider writing them up for C=Hacking!
And finally, something I think you'll enjoy:
http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en\&th=87c2a2ced7e32ce1\&rnum=42
From: duck@clumsy.pembroke.edu (duck@clumsy.pembroke.edu)
Subject: C= Hacker's Net-Mag
Newsgroups: comp.sys.cbm
Date: 1992-02-08 14:52:20 PST

Due to the recent influx of "Tech-Know" (People who actually understand / hack te $\mathrm{C}=64$ into doing stuff that was previously unknown) I am going to tentativly start a $\mathrm{C}=$ Hackers Net-Mag (Hacking in the 90's since of the word, not the 80's).

So - please send me your netmail address if you're interested in receiving it.

Or if you are interested in contatcting me concerning an article etc that you'd like to see distributed please also contact me.

For the first issue I'm tentatively planning on:

- Line Drawing on 8563 VDC ( $640 \times 200$ hi-res graphics)
- Beginning ML column
- Raster Article
- . .
- Craig Taylor
duck@pembvax1.pembroke.edu
P.S. - Not sure when the first is gonna go out but hopefully soon.

From: duck@clumsy.pembroke.edu (duck@clumsy.pembroke.edu)
Subject: Issue 1 - C= Hacking Available
Newsgroups: comp.sys.cbm
Date: 1992-02-27 17:22:44 PST

```
Issue 1 of C= Hackers is now available via NETMAIL and is a compilation of
several articles on the tehnical side of the Commodore 64 and 128. For those
of you who missed the first posting, please reply via email and ask to be put
on the list. The first issue had programming in ml, documented and
undocumented 6502 opcodes, and a line-drawing package in machine language for
the C=128 hi-res screen.
    Issue 2 will be coming out in a month or so. Many thanks for the bandwith.
- Craig Taylor
duck@pembvax1.pembroke.edu
.....
,
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::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: Contents ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
BSOUT
    o Voluminous ruminations from your unfettered editor.
Jiffies
    o News, rumours, and stuff.
Side Hacking
    o "Pulse Width Modulation, continued" by various.
    Tying up some loose end's from last issue's digi article.
    o "Introducing Full-Screen IFLI mode with a SuperCPU", by Todd
        Elliot <eyethian@msn.com> (Hey, what's with all this MSN crapola? :)
        Using a SuperCPU, it is possible to use the first three columns
        of an (I)FLI picture, and Todd shows how.
```

Main Articles
o "VIC-20 Kernel ROM Disassembly Project, part IV", by Richard Cini
[rcini@msn.com](mailto:rcini@msn.com)
And now it's time to start on that most frightening of creations:
the tape drive code!
o "The Art of the Minigame" -- an article in six parts:
Introduction, by the editor
Part 1: Codebreaker, by David Holz [whiteflame52@yahoo.com](mailto:whiteflame52@yahoo.com)
Part 2: TinyPlay, by S. Judd [sjudd@ffd2.com](mailto:sjudd@ffd2.com)
Part 3: MagerTris, by Per Olofsson [magervalp@cling.gu.se](mailto:magervalp@cling.gu.se)
Part 4: Compressing Tiny Programs, by S. Judd [sjudd@ffd2.com](mailto:sjudd@ffd2.com)
Part 5: TinyRinth by Mark Seelye [mseelye@yahoo.com](mailto:mseelye@yahoo.com)
Part 6: Tetrattack!, by Stephen Judd [sjudd@ffd2.com](mailto:sjudd@ffd2.com)
Credits
Editor, The Big Kahuna, The Car'a'carn..... Stephen L. Judd
C=Hacking logo by............................. Mark Lawrence
Special thanks to the cbm-hackers for many otherwise unacknowledged
contributions.
Legal disclaimer:
1) If you screw it up it's your own fault!
2) If you use someone's stuff without permission you're a dork!
For information on the mailing list, ftp and web sites, send some email
to chacking-info@jbrain.com.
Jiffies
$\$ 01$ Jochen Adler has made a program that reads the second side of a 1541 disk in a 1571 - without turning the disk over. It reads the blocks from end to beginning. Because of the mechanical bump however, it can only read tracks 5 to 35 . If anybody wants this program please e-mail Jochen (NLQ@gmx.de)
\$02 Soci/Singular has been working on a commented C128 ROM listing. Check out this great effort at http://singularcrew.hu/c128rom/
\$03 64net/2 has been updated:
For those that do not know: 64net/2 is yet another PC-to-C64/128 User<->LPT parallel cable software. It supports d64/d71/d81/t64/lnx/dhd disk images, raw files and own Internet partition. It is possible to enter disk images like any other directory. Client programs are provided for C64 and C128. The next goal will be to patch ROM instead of loading client.

A small BASIC example program is included that is able to send e-mails through 64net/2 host or any other machine if its IP is known. How many e-mail agents are there for $\mathrm{C}=$ ? How many of them are written in plain BASIC 2.0? :)
http://sourceforge.net/projects/c64net/ contains the latest version, and http://venus.wmid.amu.edu.pl/~ytm/64net2win.tar.gz contains a Windows binary.
\$04 CC65 is up to version 2.7.0, with many new improvements. Check it out at http://www.cc65.org/
\$05 Moreover, Ullrich has set up his C64 as a web server at
http://c64.cc65.org/
The web server runs on a stock 64, using a Swiftlink for communications, and uses the uIP TCP/IP stack written (with cc65) by Adam Dunkels
http://dunkels.com/adam/uip
Pretty cool, eh?
\$06 A new IDE interface has become available:
Elysium is proud to announce a new software and hardware solution for your Commodore mass data storage needs.

The CIA-IDE is yet another approach to connect an IDE hard drive to C64/128. It differs from previous similar projects in these areas:

- it is the simpliest one (only two chips required (or just one in case of C128) )
- it is free (documentation and software),
- the software is available in source code form under GNU GPL,
- there _exists_ a ready to use GEOS 2.0 driver.

Documentation, source codes, and binaries are at:
ftp://ftp.elysium.pl/groups/Elysium/Projects/ciaide/
\$07 Marko Makela has developed a tape drive emulator with an RS-232 port, allowing transfers between any computer with a tape port and any computer with an RS-232 interface (e.g. a PC or Swiftlink). The hardware and software is at
http://www.funet.fi/pub/cbm/crossplatform/transfer/C2N232/
\$08 GoDot -- the C64 image processing program -- is now public domain. Arndt will still be working on it, but it's now available at
http://members.aol.com/howtogodot/godnews.htm
$\$ 09$ The C 64 is now listed in the Guiness Book of World Records; a scan of the page (from Robert Bernardo, posted by Frank Michlick) is at
www. cupid.de/upload/famous.jpg
(love that line about "16K sound").
Also, I highly recommend taking Robert's advice and checking out the infinitely cool "Logo-Matic" on the main Www.cupid.de site!
$\$ 0 \mathrm{~A}$ JOS just keeps cruising along, with lots of changes. Among the biggest changes, of course, is the announcement that JOS will be merged with Clips, with the new system to be called "Wings" (with some of the letters capitalized and some not; I never remember that stuff). For the latest JOS news, check out
http://www.jolz64.cjb.net/
\$0B Frank Kontros has made a commented disassembly of the C64 ROM, with the BASIC ROM on the way:
http://c64.uz.ua/sources/C64_Kernal_Disassembly.zip
\$0C And finally, Aleksi Eeben, author of two minigames, has now written a VIC-20 game:
http://www.student.oulu.fi/~aeeben/download/dragonwing.zip
more screenshots:
http://www.student.oulu.fi/~aeeben/screen1.png - screen4.png
Aleksi's homepage is at http://www.cncd.fi/aeeben
Neat!
$\qquad$

Pulse Width Modulation, continued

The digi article in issue \#20 of $C=H a c k i n g$ left a few loose ends, and generated some followups.

First, Otto Jarvinen (sounddemon) emailed to say that the SID detection routine occasionally reported incorrect results for him, and suggested that a workaround was to do the detect several times. YMMV!

Second, a day or two after issue \#20 was released, Levente discovered a brilliant way to play 6-bit PWM digis on a stock machine:

I couldn't resist, and tried something out (see attachment). It works!!! :-)
In fact, when $I$ wrote the last letter I didn't know that $I$ found something useable, just had some ideas - I felt that I'm at the right place. When I read $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{H} 20$ this morning and read your comment about the Test bit (from the PRG), I knew that it must work. All I had to do is then to put this idea into code.

The whole idea is about starting the pulse by software, and then having the SID turn it back to 0 after a time.

Is it possible? .. .The keys are the Test bit (the SID wave counter can be reseted anytime), the pulse width register, the wave counter and the SIDs way of generating pulse wave. (Ie. the pulse wave is high, as long as the wave counter is less than the value in the pulse width register).

Check this algorithm:

- Init: volume at max, voice 1 sustain level max, start attack. Freq is selected well $(=\$ 4000)$, so the wave counter is incremented by 4 every processor clock cycles.

Loop:

- load next sample value, and put it to the pulse width low register (\$d402;
ensure that \$d403 is 0).
- Set test bit, and clear test bit (counter reset).
- Increase sample pointer, some delay, then loop. The delay must be 64 clock
cycles + the time while the Test bit is kept set ( 4 cycles if using STA \$d404
: STX \$d404 immediately with pre-loaded values).
What will happen? The 8-bit sample value is put directly to the pulse width register (MSBs of the pulse width register are cleared!...). The wave counter is started (release test bit), and it increases 4 by every CPU cycles (= counts 256 in 64 cycles). After some time, the counter will reach the value in the pulse width register. This happens in exactly after (8-bit sample value / 4) cycles, because of the above. In this cycle (or the next?...) the SID turns its pulse output to 0. Voilá!

One must just make sure that the loop length in cycles matches the above conditions, and then it runs like hell... Since it does exactly the same on the SID as the other (bit-banging) way, it just does it with some hardware help, there's also no problem with the' 4 khz maximum barrier (since the
oscillator is reset every loop).
With little enhancement, it's possible to write an about 7.5 bits player for a stock C64 by this method. This is what you find in the attachment... The idea is using all the 3 channels simultaneously. A slightly increased sample value is written to the three pulse width registers, so the oscillators will finish the duty cycle one processor cycle later, when there's a carry between bits $(0,1)$ to the MSBs.

The replay freq is the CPU clk / 68 ( $\sim 15 \mathrm{khz}$ ). 64 cycles (variable duty cycle) +4 cycles (constant duty cycle because of the reset time - no problems with that, it doesn't change (just gives a small constant DC...)).

By similar methods, it should be possible to write a sample player with higher PWM freq (with less resolution of course, but eliminating this still audible whistling).
(I tried using the filter to reduce it, but it sounded so bad that left it out. It clicked like hell. The FETs got saturated.)
[Richard Atkinson suggested turning down the sustain volumes to avoid this]
See the attachment, and the binary. I think the sample sounds pretty good :-). (The cut is from 'Greece 2000' by Three drives on a vinyl).
(Another idea that popped up in my mind: since the TED sound generator can also be reset, $I$ could probably translate this idea to the Plus/4 :-0).

Best regards,
Levente

The binary is available at http://www.ffd2.com/fridge/chacking/ towards the bottom of the page.

Third, I received a very interesting email from an Apple-II guy, which I'd like to pass on:

## Hi!

I found your page as I was searching for something else 6502-related, and was very interested. Although I have always been aware of the C64, I have never really been a user--I have used Apple II's since 1980.

I was particularly interested in the article on playing "digis" on the C64. I became interested in playing digitized sounds on the Apple II in 1993, after hearing a 3 -bit, 11.025 KHz PWM player. At 3 bits, you can imagine how noisy speech samples were, but the overall effect for a 1 MHz machine with a 1-bit speaker "toggle" was amazing. It made me wonder how far this PWM technique could be pushed on a stock, 1 MHz Apple II (not the somewhat faster, 65816-based IIgs).

The short answer is, much farther than I expected! Robin and Stephen accurately describe the theoretical PWM limit as 6 bit samples at about 16 KHz for a stock 1 MHz machine, but, as they point out, that is not practically realizable for a number of reasons, unless the play loop is completely unrolled!
Furthermore, in the Apple II world, sampled sounds have acquired a few standardized sampling rates-mostly as a result of Mac influence, which was in turn influenced by CD's. The most common rate in the Apple II world is 11.025 KHz or one-fourth of the audio CD sampling rate: This is commonly considered to be "AM radio quality", with a Nyquist bandwidth of about 5.5 KHz and a practical bandwidth of $4+\mathrm{KHz}$, given practical anti-aliasing filters (at the sampling end, not the playback end).
A frequency of 11.025 KHz is, though high, still painfully audible to people whose ears are not zonked-a piercing "squeal" running
through every sound. So even though it is possible to write a
practical 6-bit 11.025 KHz PWM player (usually called a SoftDAC
in the Apple II world), the resulting listening experience is disappointing.
So I went to work on a way to do $2 x$ oversampling, and built a 5 -bit
22.050 KHz PWM player. It was sad to lose a bit, but the absence
of any audible "carrier" more than compensated for it!

If you have access to an 8-bit Apple II (preferably with lower case, like a //e), and also preferably with a way of attaching an external speaker or headphones in place of the miserable 2.75" internal
speaker, then you can easily give it a try and judge for yourself.
I'm pretty proud of the novel design of the code, which I would characterize as "vectored" unrolled loops, one for every two pulse duty cycles, which I wrote a BASIC program to write for me--much less painful for counting cycles!

The package is available on the web at:
http://members.aol.com/MJMahon/index.html
and is called <A HREF="http://members.aol.com/MJMahon/sound22.shk">Sound Editor v2.2</A>, since I had to "dress up" the player
into something fun to play with. ;-) An earlier version of Sound Editor was published on SoftDisk in 1994, IIRC, but this one is a little more evolved. It also introduced 2:1. ADPCM compression of 8-bit sampled sounds, to save disk space. It is a lossy compression, but not very noticeably. The editor package also includes those routines, in 6502 assembly code.

All of this should be trivially adaptable to the stock, 1 MHz C64, with very good results. By using the filters, you could probably filter out the 11.025 KHz carrier and return to 6 -bit accuracy!

I should note that in the Apple world, sampled sounds are usually represented as "excess-128" codes, which means that the sign bit is inverted. This actually simplifies things, since the sample value is within a few shifts of being the pulse width in cycles.

Let me know what you think!
-michael
(Always great to hear from Atari and Apple ][ folks!)
And finally, I have a little mathematical analysis of PWM and how it compares to a "straight" digi. Basically, I found some of the PWM explanations a little unconvincing in issue \#20 (even though I wrote them!). For example, the idea of "average voltage" seems a little funny, since every two samples has an "average voltage", as does every four, etc. but that set of average voltages would give a different sounding signal than the original (or more dramatically, there is an average voltage over a full second of digi playback, but that's not what you hear!). So I wanted to know how a PWM signal _really_ compares to a straight digi playback.

Another issue is changing the amplitude of a PWM digi, i.e. using two pulse waveforms, with one $1 / 16$ the value of the other, to get higher resolution. If you recall the discussion of digis, the resolution of a PWM digi depends on the number of pulse widths available, not the amplitude. Adding two PWM waveforms together does not change the number of pulse widths available, so I wanted to figure out what changing the amplitude _really_ does to a PWM digi, and if it can really be exploited.

And finally, I wanted to know about the carrier wave (that is so piercing at lower playback frequencies) -- and once again, how it compares with a standard digi (which, after all, is stair-stepping the voltages at the playback rate).

Since the rest of this article is some Fourier analysis that 99\% of people will have zero interest in, I'll put the conclusions here. The first is: PWM digis and standard digis are essentially identical except at higher frequencies (except for a phase shift, which doesn't make any difference to your ear). The second is: changing the amplitude of a PWM changes the resolution. More specifically, the amplitude of the pulse multiplies the digi sample value. If two pulses can be synced close enough, it should indeed be possible to use two pulses to get a higher resolution. Moreover, by modulating the amplitude of a single PWM digi, using the \$d418 volume register -- that is, using PWM _and_\$d418 -- it should be possible to get a higher dynamic range, something that should be a little more achievable using SID (but maybe not that useful, so I didn't try it out). And finally, a standard digi has zero amplitude at the carrier frequency.

In other words, after a lot of effort $I$ was able to demonstrate what everyone already knows.

The analysis doesn't change anything from the previous articles (except
possibly the idea for changing the PWM amplitude to get more dynamic range).
And now, some Fourier analysis. A standard digi just sets the voltage to the sample value $s_{-j}$, for a length of time $\mathrm{dt}^{(\mathrm{dt}}=1 /$ sample rate). The Fourier transform of a single sample $\mathrm{s}_{-} \mathrm{j}$ (occuring at time $\mathrm{t}_{-} \mathrm{j}$ ) is

$$
s_{-} j\left[e^{\wedge}(-i w d t)-1\right] \text { * [e^(-iw t_j) / -iw] }
$$

where $w=$ angular frequency. Since the above is a little hard to read, I'll say it in words. The first term is the sample value $\mathrm{s}_{-} \mathrm{j}$, which scales amplitudes at ail frequencies. The second term is due to the finite length of the pulse (evaluating the Fourier integral at the boundaries), and basically changes the phase of the transform. The third term is like sin(w)/w-- a sinusoid with decreasing amplitude as frequency increases. So: the transform goes like sin(w)/w times the sample value, with some phase effects thrown in (we'll get back to these in a moment).
A PWM digi sets the duty cycle of a pulse to the sample value s_j, giving a Fourier transform of

$$
\left[e^{\wedge}\left(-i w ~ s \_j d t\right)-1\right] \text { * [e^(-iw t_j) / -iw] }
$$

Compare this with the earlier expression, and you'll see that the sample value s_j has moved up in to the exponent of the "phase term" but that they're otherwise the same.

The first thing to do is to show that both expressions, PWM and standard, reduce to the same thing -- that is, that a PWM and a standard digi sound the same! The expressions both decrease as 1/frequency, due to the sin(w)/w term. This means that at large frequencies the values become negligible. (How large? For example, if the sample frequency is just 1 KHz , then $\sin (w) / w$ is 001 times smaller near $w=1 \mathrm{KHz}$ (i.e. the sample frequency, which is twice the Nyquist limit) than it is near w=0).

So now consider the phase terms for small w. The Taylor expansion for $\mathrm{e}^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}$ is

$$
1+x+x^{\wedge} 2 / 2+\ldots
$$

We can therefore expand the "phase terms" as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { regular: } e^{\wedge}(-i w d t)-1 & =\left(1-i w^{*} d t+w^{\wedge} 2 d t \wedge 2 / 2+\ldots\right)-1 \\
& =-i w^{\star} d t+0\left(w^{\wedge} 2 d t \wedge 2\right) \\
p w m: e^{\wedge}\left(-i w s_{-} j d t\right)-1 & =-i w^{*} s_{-} j^{*} d t+0\left(w^{\wedge} 2 d t \wedge 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $O\left(w^{\wedge} 2 d t \wedge 2\right)$ is considered very small since $w$ and $d t$ are both small. Substituting the above into the original expressions gives

$$
s_{-j}{ }^{*} i w^{*} d t \quad\left[e^{\wedge}\left(-i w ~ t \_j\right) / i w\right]
$$

in both cases. That is, we have shown that for "small" frequencies -- more specifically, for frequencies where ( $w^{\wedge} 2^{*} \mathrm{dt} \wedge 2$ ) is much smaller than ( $w^{*} \mathrm{dt}$ ), which is where $w^{*} d t<1$, which is frequencies less than the sample frequency, which is all frequencíes of interest! -- PWM and standard digis are the same.
The explanation lies in the phase terms. Those "phase terms"
[e^(iw dt) - 1] (regular)
and
[e^(iw s_j dt) - 1] (PWM)
do more than just change the phase. When they multiply the sin(w)/w signal, they take the sin(w)/w signal, change the phase, and then subtract the sin(w)/w signal again. It's this difference of signals that makes things work out at the frequencies we care about. PWM and standard digis are _not_ the same, but the main differences are at higher frequencies, where the amplitudes are in general much smaller.

But... but... what about the PWM carrier frequency? If we take a constant digi, say with sample values $=1 / 2$, the standard digi gives a constant voltage, whereas a PWM digi gives a square wave at the sample frequency. The answer comes from the "phase terms" above. The sample frequency is
w = 2*pi/dt.

Substituting this into the phase terms gives

$$
\left[e^{\wedge}\left(i^{*} 2 * p i\right)-1\right] \quad \text { (regular) }
$$

and

$$
\left[e^{\wedge}(i \quad \text { s_j 2*pi) - 1] (PWM) }\right.
$$

The regular expression is exactly zero -- there is _nothing_ at the sample frequency of a regular digi. But that's not the case for the PWM term, because of the $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{j}$ up in the exponent. PWM digis have a finite_ amplitude at the carrier frequency. Note that because of the sin(w)/w term it gets smaller as the sample frequency increases -- but it isn't zero.

Finally, the phase term expansions give some insight into what happens when both the pulse width _and_ height are varied. If the pulse width is $s_{-} j$, and the height is set $\overline{\text { to }} h_{-j}$, then the Fourier transform becomes

$$
h_{-j *}^{*} s_{-} j{ }^{*} i w^{*} d t\left[e^{\wedge}\left(-i w t_{-} j\right) / i w\right]
$$

That is, the amplitude multiples the width. For the case of adding two PWM waves together, then, the amplitude really does effectively scale the sample value, and it should be possible to add one PWM value at $1 / 16$ the amplitude of another to get an effective 8 -bit value.

What about _varying the amplitude of a single PWM sequence? For a 6-bit PWM digi, say, $\overline{t h e}$ sample values s.j can go from 0 to 63. If this is then multiplied by $h_{-j=2}$ say, then the values become $024 \ldots 126--$ a 7 -bit number where the lowest bit is always 0. What use is that? Well, we still have the $h_{-} j=1$ values of 0..63, which do include the lowest bit. So we can effectively change the dynamic range from $0 . .63$ to $0 . .126$ using just two amplitude values.

As a practical matter, then, it might be possible to use all 15 \$d018 values available to get a big dynamic range, and hence a better sounding digi, using fewer CPU cycles. Well, ok, we're only sort of changing the dynamic range, so I pretty much doubt the usefulness of it. Būt maybe someone out there would like to give it a shot.

All right, let's hope this closes the book on pulse width modulation for digi playback!
$\because$
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Introducing Full-Screen FLI mode for the SuperCPU
Copyright (C) 2002 By Todd S. Elliott
The 'FLI Bug', where the first three columns of a FLI screen are essentially unusable, can be squashed with the help of a SuperCPU. I won't go into great detail on IFLI, as it has been well-documented elsewhere, but I'll begin with a short summary to get us all up to speed. I refer you to Albert 'Pasi' Ojala's excellent coverage of the FLI mode in C=Hacking \#4. Pasi also proofread this article.

## A Three-Minute Summary of the FLI mode

The VIC-II chip asserts a badline when it needs to access the databus and fetch character data or videomatrix data. It was discovered that the VIC-II chip can be manipulated by its vertical scroll register at \$d011 (SCROLY) to induce a badline at any given rasterline. By having a badline at every visible rasterline, the program can manipulate \$d018 (VMCSB) to point at the right videomatrix to achieve the maximum flexibility of colors given to a multi-color screen.

Unfortunately, when a program forces a badline via SCROLY, the BA (Bus Available) line in the computer goes high, and for three cycles the 6510/8510 processor has to finish its write operations or halt its read operations before the BA line is released to the VIC-II chip. The maximum number of successive write operations is three, hence the 3-cycle delay. It is in those three cycles that the VIC-II does not fetch video matrix data to fill in the first three columns and causes the 'FLI Bug'.

I wish to stress that in those first three cycles, when the BA line is high, the 6510/8510 processor is still active and can complete write operations. It isn't fully shut down. After the badline retrigger at STA SCROLY, the code following it is fetched on the databus and is ready to be executed by the 6510/8510 processor. When BA is high, the VIC-II will reference the value on the databus as videomatrix data and display it in the first three columns of the screen. The actual instructions that follow the STA SCROLY in the FLI
loop constitutes the video matrix data for the first three columns of the screen.

## Enter the SuperCPU!

Normally, a VIC-II chip access is only possible every 4 cycles. The SuperCPU can access the VIC-II chip in 1 cycle (1MHz) intervals, making cycle to cycle changes possible within the VIC-II chip. More importantly, the SuperCPU tristates the 6510/8510 processor inside the host Commodore computer (which is a fancy way of saying that you can disconnect the processor from the system without physically removing it).
When a forced badline retrigger occurs with a STA SCROLY in a FLI loop under the SuperCPU, the BA signal inside the host Commodore computer goes high. But, the SuperCPU runs asynchronously and really doesn't have to pay attention to the host Commodore as it runs code after the STA SCROLY. In fact, the SuperCPU will execute code even if the VIC-II badline is in full swing inside the host Commodore computer.

I knew that the instruction opcodes left on the databus after the STA SCROLY made up the video matrix data for the VIC-II chip for those first three columns of the screen. But I wondered how this was possible in a SuperCPU configuration because there would be no instruction opcodes left hanging on the databus inside the host Commodore computer. After some discussions with Per Olofsson ("Magervalp"), he suggested that writes/reads to the i/o area will force a value to be put on the databus.

This is where the magic begins, when the FLI loop forces the SuperCPU to write to the i/o area of the host commodore after the forced badline retrigger at STA SCROLY. The SuperCPU will note that the BA signal is still high, so it can still access the databus and stash values there via DMA. This BA high signal will last for 3 cycles, enough for the SuperCPU to stash three values onto the databus.

The 6510/8510 is still tristated by the SuperCPU, and there's nothing on the databus after the forced badline retrigger at STA SCROLY. Normally, the 6510/8510 CPU shares the databus with the VIC-II for each machine cycle. With the 6510/8510 CPU out of the equation, the SuperCPU can stash a value onto this shared bus on the CPU half of this machine cycle and the VIC-II chip will see it in its other half of the machine cycle.

However, the databus is only eight bits wide. The VIC-II chip fetches video matrix data and color ram data 12 bits at a time. The SuperCPU can force values onto the databus during the first three cycles after the forced badline retrigger, but on each cycle the last four bits belonging to Color RAM would not be fed to the VIC-II chip. Only pixel values of \%10 and \%01 can be individually selected in multicolor FLI mode, while $\% 11$ pixel values cannot be individually set for those first three columns of the screen. The high resolution FLI mode does not suffer from this problem because it does not use color RAM for color attribute information.

Full-Screen FLI in practice
Let's get down to the nitty gritty. The Write I/O Approach requires three 200-byte tables, corresponding to each column. Each value on those tables correspond to each visible rasterline. For example, the first byte of each table corresponds to rasterline 50, the second byte of each table corresponds to rasterline 51, etc. The first table contains values needed for the first column of the screen, the second table contains values needed for the second column of the screen, and the third table values for the third column.

In the FLI display loop prior to the STA SCROLY command, the current rasterline is used as an index to all three tables. The values are then fetched from the tables and inserted into the code that follows the STA SCROLY command using self-modifying code techniques. When the STA SCROLY happens, the code that immediately follows it starts writing the values onto the databus, all three in a row to complete the first three columns of the screen.

There is a disadvantage with this approach. It requires that three 200-byte tables be specially constructed and stored somewhere in memory that is not mirrored by the superCPU. A routine would have to read in a FLI graphics file, extract information from the first three columns and store it into their respective 200-byte tables.

Pasi Ojala came up with a graph depicting the SuperCPU interacting with the VIC-II in action, showing what happens after the forced DMA retrigger at STA SCROLY. The 'LDA' \#\$xx' would have been modified earlier in the FLI routine (before the STA SCROLY) using self-modifying code. Here is the relevant source code which takes up 4 machine cycles inside the host Commodore computer.
lda \#\$00 : ef
sta \$d022 : ghij, j = write 1 to \$D022 on 1MHz bus CPU half - Mach. Cycle \#2
lda \#\$00 : kI
sta \$d022 : mnop, $p=$ write 2 to $\$ \mathrm{D} 022$ on 1 MHz bus CPU half - Mach. Cycle \#3
lda \#\$00 : qr
sta \$d022 : stuv, v = write 3 to $\$ \mathrm{D} 022$ on 1 MHz bus CPU half - Mach. Cycle \#4
There are the two shared halves consisting of a machine cycle inside the host Commodore bus, and by stashing values onto the databus, this value is carried over to the VIC-II half and is read as videomatrix data during the first three columns of the FLI screen.
$=$ VIC-II half of the 1 MHz cycle
$\overline{\text { E. }} \overline{=}$ SCPU synchronizes to 1 MHz bus
Ehar position is equivalent to a $1(20 \mathrm{MHz})$ cycle.


Values on the databus which is carried over onto the VIC-II half of the databus:
DMA: DMA condition detected by VIC-II
col0: colors for column 0 read, gets the value 1 put into the bus by SCPU col1: colors for column 1 read, gets the value 2 put into the bus by SCPU col2: colors for column 2 read, gets the value 3 put into the bus by SCPU

An alternative approach bites the dust
The SuperCPU can also fetch values onto the databus by reading from the I/O region. If a coder were so inclined to use a 'Read I/O Approach', where is a program going to find 600 free bytes in the i/o region at \$d000-\$dfff? The idea is to force the SuperCPU to do a read on the databus via DMA and this can't be done with mirrored locations similar to the ones used in those VIC optimization modes. When a SuperCPU reads a value from mirrored memory, it does so from its local RAM and not the RAM that is inside the host Commodore computer. However, if the SuperCPU reads from the I/O block at \$d000-\$dfff, it will read a value from inside the host Commodore computer using DMA.

Unfortunately, this approach did not work when the BA line went high inside the host Commodore computer and is unworkable for a full-screen FLI mode. The SuperCPU stops for reads if BA is high, just like its 1MHz 6510/8510 counterpart.

Other Considerations.
There were some interesting observations while debugging the full-screen FLI routines. The full-screen FLI routines were originally inspired by Robin Harbron's IRQ-based IFLI routines. Because they are driven by an IRQ, the CPU is still available for normal computational tasks.

When all three videomatrix values are written to after the STA SCROLY in the line-interruptible FLI routine, the IRQ must then exit quickly with the restoration of the registers. It's a good idea to avoid writing to any mirrored location or read/write to any I/0 region (\$D000-\$DFFF), since the SuperCPU will have to wait for VIC to finish with the data bus.

Using a raster $I R Q$ will naturally lead to trouble, since cycle-exact timing is needed, so a CIA timer is used. The timer may be set to synchronize a PAL or NTSC machine. Then in the FLI routine the timer can be checked and indexed into a table of preset timing values so that the forced badline retrigger at STA SCROLY will always happen at the right time on the screen, no matter what the SuperCPU is doing when the VIC-II interrupted it with an raster IRQ. Thanks goes to Ninja/The-Dreams (aka Wolfram Sang) for tips on how to create a stable line-interruptible FLI routine using timers.

Source code
Without further ado, here is the source code. This source code was used in the Santa Claus FLI Demo for Wheels OS. This code will run in either Commodore 64 or 128 computers and in either PAL or NTSC systems. It did take a lot of tweaking at Points \#1, \#2, \#3, \#4, \& \#5 as I tried to perfect the routines as closely as possible. The full source code for the Santa Claus FLI demo can be supplied via email upon request. It is in Concept+ (geoProgrammer) format.
; Wedges the full-screen FLI interrupt handler in Wheels systems.
; Thanks goes to Robin Harbron for the idea of a line-interruptible FLI routine.
InstallFLI: ; Installs the FLI routine
jsr ClearMouseMode ; Turn off the mouse.
sei
lda CPU DATA ; Save 6510/8510 Location \#\$01.



|  | adc | \#\$00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sta | mark1+2 |  |
| sta | mark2+2 |  |
| sta | mark3+2 |  |
| cpy | $\# 200$ |  |
| bne | mark1-2 |  |
|  | lda | screenMode |
| beq | $2 \$$ | $;$ |



Hopefully the full-screen FLI possibilities that the SuperCPU can now unlock will bring forth cool software for our SuperCPU's and tons of 'eye candy'.

Enjoy.
$\cdots \cdots$
$\cdots \cdots$
$\cdots$

$\cdots$
$\qquad$
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Introduction
============
In Part 4 of this series, we discovered that of the 39 ROM routines available to be called by user code, 26 of them related to device I/O. The path to using a device from machine code was to first "open" it. So, we looked at the routines required to open and begin using a logical device.

When we concluded the discussion on the OPEN command, we left out seven routines dealing with the tape device. This is some of the most complex code I've ever seen. Unlike the IEEE serial peripherals, the tape deck is a "dumb" device, meaning that the VIC Kernal has to do the work of moving the data to/from the tape. With the serial peripherals, the Kernal just sends a character to the device that then acts on it independently of the VIC.

After opening the device, there are nine non-tape routines to deal with getting data into and out of the VIC, including talking and untalking, listening and unlistening, moving the characters in and out, and some secondary address stuff.

In this installment, we'll conclude our discussion of the OPEN procedure by looking at the tape routines that are called from OPEN. Later articles will discuss the actual movement of bits to and from the cassette and the remaining IEEE serial routines, as well as the loading and saving of files.

Tape Routines
=============
In the last installment, we examined the beginnings of opening a device for use by a program. The reader will find that the routines are convoluted and hard to follow, with jumps and branches into apparently unrelated subroutines. Overall it appears to be ugly but highly functional code.

Here's a "calling tree" outline to the routines called by OPEN:
IOPEN---
|-FIND (analyzed in Part IV of this series)


When dealing with the tape, it helps to understand that Commodore built the tape protocol with an eye towards readability under adverse conditions, including tape quality and motor speed. This made Commodore tapes probably one of the most reliable data systems when compared to TI, Apple, and Tandy, among others. Data headers and data blocks are repeated on the tape and a comparison is made between the two reads to ensure integrity. Additionally, the recording method is self-clocking so the effects of varying tape speed are minimized.

One of the first routines used in opening the tape device is determining the address of the tape buffer and making sure that it's in the $\$ 02 \times x$ page (or higher) of the system RAM. A test at IOPEN_S5 bails out with an "illegal device" error if the tape buffer isn't just sō.


PLAYMS is called by IOPEN at IOPEN_S6. A test there determines if we're trying to read/load or write/save from/to a tape. Read mode results in the "Press Play.." message, the "Searching for..." message and two routines that search for the appropriate tape header.


This simple routine prints the "Searching for..." message only if in direct mode, and if appropriate, the filename that is being searched for. If no filename is present, the message is changed to "Searching..." (without
the "for") before it's output to the screen.


If the user is attempting to open a tape file with a specific filename, the IOPEN code makes a call to LOCSPH in IOPEN_S6 to find the file header associated with the filename. If the specific header is not found, the routine emits the "File not Found" error message. LOCSPH is a loop wrapper around the LOCTPH routine that searches for the "next" file header regardless of name. The secondary address parameter of the OPEN command defines whether the action is to (0) read a tape file and relocate it in memory, (1) read a tape file without relocation (a machine program), or (2) write a tape file and put both EOF an EOT markers after it. Once a header is found, the filename from the header is compared to the filename in the OPEN command to see if there's a match.


Tape searches are performed linearly, so the LOCTPH routine is used to search for the next file header on the tape starting from the current tape position. This routine is also called by LOAD through IOPEN if no filename is provided as a parameter to the LOAD (or OPEN) call. Additionally, it's called in a loop by the LOCSPH routine when searching for a specific file.

LOCTPH reads a tape block to the tape buffer using TPREAD and examines a few important fields in the file header. The fields examined indicate the file type and the filename. If the file header indicates that the file is anything other than a program file or a data file, the routine looks for another file header until it reaches the end of the tape. If BASIC is in "direct mode", LOCTPH prints the "Found" message in addition to the filename.


The TPREAD routine is responsible for the setup required to read or verify a block from the tape. It prompts the user to press the PLAY button on the tape deck, disables system interrupts and sets some important parameters before execution falls through to the code responsible for starting the tape IRQ routines. In many Commodore machines, tape operations are performed under the operation of a routine installed as a temporary IRQ handler -- sort of a cheap multitasking so that the system doesn't appear to be hung while tape operations are occurring. Execution ultimately comes to code at \$F8EF (TPCODE) which is responsible for installing and starting the tape IRQ routine.

All of this and we haven't yet reached the bits on the tape :-)
F8C0
F8C0
F8C0
F8C0 ' TPREAD
F8C0 A9 00
F8C2 8590
F8C4 8593

; TPREAD - Read tape block

F8C6
F8C6 2054 F8
TPREAD1
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { LDA \#\$00 } & \\ \text { STA CSTAT } & \text {;clear status variable... } \\ \text { STA } \text { IOFLG2 } & \text {;and read/verif flag }\end{array}$

TPREAD1 JSR SETBST ;set tape buffer pointers


SRCHMS also calls this small routine to determine if a key is pressed on the tape deck. TPSTAT looks at PA6 on VIA1 to determine the key state and sets up the $Z$ flag for a compare to be performed in SRCHMS.

```
F8AB ;==================================================================
F8AB ; TPSTAT - Check tape key status
F8AB ; TPSTAT
F8AB A9 40 TPSTAT LDA #%01000000 ;$40
F8AD 2C 1F 91 BIT D10RAH ;switch sense
F8B0 D0 03 BNE TPSTEX ;$F8B5 not pressed, exit
F8B2 2C 1F 91 BIT D10RAH ;button pressed. Setup for another
F8B5 TPSTEX
F8B5 18 CLC
F8B6 60 RTS ;return clear
```

One of the tests performed in IOPEN (also at IOPEN_S6) is to determine if the tape operation is a read or a write. If we're in the write mode, the code jumps to IOPEN2. At IOPEN2, the Kernal prompts for the user to press play and record on the tape deck and then writes a file header by calling WRTPHD with a control ID of $\$ 04$ (a data header). Then, IOPEN writes a control byte ID of $\$ 02$ (block is a data block) to the tape buffer and returns.

RECDMS is called by IOPEN to determine if a key is pressed on the tape deck and if not, sets the message flag to the "Press Play \& Record" message and prints the message by calling into the PLAYMS routine. PLAYMS prints the message and then checks for the key press.


IOPEN calls WRTPHD at IOPEN2 with $\$ 04$ as the control byte (following block is a data header) to be written into the header. WRTPHD then writes some critical information into zero-page locations in advance of filling the tape buffer with the same information. At the end of the routine, the data is written to the tape in WRTPH1.


| F7F1 A5 | C1 |  | LDA | STAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F7F3 48 |  |  | PHA |  | ; ...start L |
| F7F4 A5 | AF |  | LDA | EAL+1 |  |
| F7F6 48 |  |  | PHA |  | ; ...end H |
| F7F7 A5 | AE |  | LDA | EAL |  |
| F7F9 48 |  |  | PHA |  | ; ...end L |
| F7FA A0 | BF |  | LDY | \#\$BF | ;buffer length-1 (191) |
| F7FC A9 | 20 |  | LDA | \#\$20 | ; \{space\} |
| F7FE |  | WRTPLP1 |  |  | ; write program data to tape buffer |
| F7FE 91 | B2 |  | STA | (TAPE1), Y | ;clear buffer |
| F800 88 |  |  | DEY |  |  |
| F801 D0 | FB |  | BNE | WRTPLP1 | ;\$F7FE |
| F803 A5 | 9E |  | LDA | TPTR1 | ;get header type |
| F805 91 | B2 |  | STA | (TAPE1), Y | ;write it |
| F807 C8 |  |  | INY |  |  |
| F808 A5 | C1 |  | LDA | STAL | ; get start L |
| F80A 91 | B2 |  | STA | (TAPE1), Y | ;write it |
| F80C C8 |  |  | INY |  |  |
| F80D A5 | C2 |  | LDA | STAL+1 | ; get start H |
| F80F 91 | B2 |  | STA | (TAPE1), Y | ;write it |
| F811 C8 |  |  | INY |  |  |
| F812 A5 | AE |  | LDA | EAL | ; get end L |
| F814 91 | B2 |  | STA | (TAPE1), Y | ;write it |
| F816 C8 |  |  | INY |  |  |
| F817 A5 | AF |  | LDA | EAL+1 | ; get end H |
| F819 91 | B2 |  | STA | (TAPE1), Y | ;write it |
| F81B C8 |  |  | INY |  |  |
| F81C 84 | 9F |  | STY | TPTR2 | ; save buffer offset |
| F81E A0 | 00 |  | LDY | \#\$00 | ;filename loop counter |
| F820 84 | 9E |  | STY | TPTR1 | ; save loop counter |
| F822 |  | WRTPLP2 |  |  | ; write filename to buffer |
| F822 A4 | 9E |  | LDY | TPTR1 | ;get loop counter |
| F824 C4 | B7 |  | CPY | FNMLEN | ; compare filename length |
| F826 F0 | 0C |  | BEQ | WRTPH1 | ;\$F834 done |
| F828 B1 | BB |  | LDA | (FNPTR), Y | ;get filename char |
| F82A A4 | 9F |  | LDY | TPTR2 | ; get tape buffer pointer |
| F82C 91 | B2 |  | STA | (TAPE1), Y | ; write char to buffer |
| F82E E6 | 9E |  | INC | TPTR1 | ;increment loop counters |
| F830 E6 | 9F |  | INC | TPTR2 |  |
| F832 D0 | EE |  | BNE | WRTPLP2 | ;\$F822 loop |
| F834 |  | WRTPH1 |  |  | ; flush buffer to tape |
| F834 20 | 54 F8 |  | JSR | SETBST | ; set start and end address pointers |
| F837 A9 | 69 |  | LDA | \#\$69 | ;checksum block ID |
| F839 85 | AB |  | STA | RIPRTY | ; save parity character |
| F83B 20 | EA F8 |  | JSR | TPWRIT1 | ;\$F8EA write block |
| F83E A8 |  |  | TAY |  | ;restore start and end addresses |
| F83F 68 |  |  | PLA |  |  |
| F840 85 | AE |  | STA | EAL |  |
| F842 68 |  |  | PLA |  |  |
| F843 85 | AF |  | STA | EAL+1 |  |
| F845 68 |  |  | PLA |  |  |
| F846 85 | C1 |  | STA | STAL |  |
| F848 68 |  |  | PLA |  |  |
| F849 85 | C2 |  | STA | STAL+1 |  |
| F84B 98 |  |  | TYA |  |  |
| F84C |  |  |  |  |  |
| F84C |  | WRTPEX |  |  |  |
| F84C 60 |  |  | RTS |  | ;exit |

SETBST is a helper routine called by LOCTPH and WRTPHD to setup the tape buffer before a tape operation takes place. It sets the starting address of the buffer to the first address of the assigned buffer range and sets the end of the buffer to start +192 bytes.


| F85F | 98 |  | TYA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F860 | 85 | C2 | STA STAL+1 |
| F862 | 69 | 00 | ADC $\# \$ 00$ |
| F864 | 85 | AF | STA EAL+1 |
| F866 | 60 |  | RTS |

TPWRIT performs the nuts and bolts of moving cassette data in and out of the VIC. The VIC moves tape data by using a series of interrupt routines that are swapped into the IRQ vector as needed. The benefit here is that the tape IRQ code is then executed 60 times per second, along with normal processing, until the operation is complete, resulting in a cheap form of multitasking.

TPWRIT performs some setup chores before changing the IRQ vector, including clearing interrupts, ensuring that the IEEE serial bus is idle, saving the old vector, assigning the new vector and setting-up the variables used by the tape IRQ routine to actually move bits. Finally, interrupts are enabled at \$F92E which starts the whole process. When the tape IRQ routine is finished it restores the IRQ vector to the standard \$EABF which is detected by TPWRIT at TPCDLP2 (an I/O loop). When completed, TPWRIT exits through the TPSTOP routine. This loop also updates the jiffy clock.


| F936 | F0 1F |  | BEQ | TPSTPX1 | ;\$F957 yes, ready |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F938 | 20 4B | F9 | JSR | TPSTOP | ; no, check STOP key |
| F93B | AD 2D | 91 | LDA | D2IFR | ;timer 1 IF clear? |
| F93E | 2940 |  | AND | \#\%01000000 | ;\$40 |
| F940 | F0 ED |  | BEQ | TPCDLP2 | ;\$F92F continue |
| F942 | AD 14 | 91 | LDA | D1TM1L | get timer 1 loword |
| F945 | 2034 | F7 | JSR | IUDTIM | ; update RTC |
| F948 | 4C 2F | F9 | JMP | TPCDLP2 | ;\$F92F loop |

TPSTOP is another helper routine. It scans for the keyboard STOP key, and if detected, restores the standard IRQ vector and returns to the caller's caller (the' caller to TPWRIT).

| F94B |  |  |  | ====== |  | $======$ | =================== |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F94B |  |  | TPS | - Check | for | tape stop |  |
| F94B |  | ; |  |  |  |  |  |
| F94B |  |  |  | TPSTOP |  |  |  |
| F94B 20 | 20 | E1 | FF |  | JSR | STOP | ;scan STOP key |
| F94E 18 | 18 |  |  |  | CLC |  |  |
| F94F D | D0 | 0B |  |  | BNE | TPSTPX | ;\$F95C not pressed, return |
| F951 2 | 20 |  | FC |  | JSR | RESIRQ | ;pressed, turn drive off and |
| F954 38 | 38 |  |  |  | SEC |  | ;set error flag |
| F955 68 | 68 |  |  |  | PLA |  | ;pop return address |
| F956 68 | 68 |  |  |  | PLA |  |  |
| F957 |  |  |  | TPSTPX1 |  |  |  |
| F957 A | A9 | 00 |  |  | LDA | \#\$00 | ;flag normal IRQ vector |
| F959 8 | 8D | A0 |  |  | STA | TAPIRQ+1 |  |
| F95C |  |  |  | TPSTPX |  |  |  |
| F95C 60 |  |  |  |  | RTS |  | ; return to caller's caller |

The SBIDLE routine is used in TPWRIT to detect if the RS-232 serial bus is active and if so, will wait until it's idle before returning to continue the tape code.

```
F160 ;================================================================
F160 ; SBIDLE - Set timer for serial bus timeout
F160 ' SBIDLE
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
F160 & 48 \\
F161 AD 1E 91 & PHA & ; save . A \\
F164 F0 0C & LDA D1IER & iget IER \\
BEQ SBIDLEX & ;F172 no interrupts pending, exit
\end{tabular}
F166 SBIDLLP
F166 AD 1E 91 
F16B D0 F9 BNE SBIDLLP ;$F166
F16D 
F16F 8D 10
F172 SBIDLEX
F172 68 PLA
F173 60 RTS
```

```
RATS3 is at the tail end of the RAMTAS routine - the subroutine that
precedes the tape vectors.
```



These are the actual routines responsible for writing bits to the
tape. Various calling routines place these vectors into the IRQ vector that then gets executed 60 times per second. In order, these routines are for writing a leader block to the tape, the routine to write data to the tape, the standard $I R Q$ vector, and the routine to read bits from the tape.

```
FDF1 ;===============================================================
FDF1 ; TAPEVC - Tape IRQ vectors
```

FDF1 ; TAPEVC
FDF1
FDF1 A8FC0BFCBFEÁ
FDF7 8EF9

The NCHAR routine resets the internal bit counters to their initial state before a calling routine begins to shift the bits over a serial or tape channel. It sets the bit length to 8 and resets intermediate variables to 0 .


That's all of the room we have for this part of the series. All of this code and we still haven't moved the bits off the tape. So, next time we'll look at the actual routines responsible for moving bits on and off of the tape and we'll begin to look at the IEEE serial routines.
$\qquad$
.
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```
The Art Of The Minigame
```

Introduction

In summer 2001, an 8 -bit minigame contest was held. Voter turnout may have been low, but author turnout was high, with a total of thirty entries for the C64, Spectrum, Amstrad, and Atari 8 -bit. The entries and the results are available at http://demo.raww.net/minigame/, and what follows are a series of articles by several minigame authors. The articles are for enjoyment, to stimulate thought, and, hopefully, to motivate people for next year's contest. (Everyone had a great time, btw.)

Minigames -- writing tiny programs in general -- present a set of very unique challenges. Whereas many of us are used to optimizing programs for cycle-efficiency, optimizing programs for byte-efficiency turns out to be very different -- challenging, at times aggrivating, but very rewarding. I think you will find the challenges and solutions ahead to be very interesting.

The game authors were pioneers, exploring pretty new territory, and I
salute all that entered the contest (especially for making such a nice c64 showing). A special mention should be made of MagerValp ("Skinny Puppy" in Swedish, in case you've been wondering), who motivated a number of lazy people (e.g. myself) to get involved with the contest.

Before diving in to the articles ahead, which contain lots of tricks to save memory, I thought it might get us in the mood to go over some general ideas and concerns for saving bytes on a C64.

It should be obvious that some balance has to be found between cycleefficiency and memory-efficiency. Routines should be reasonably fast in most cases, but the major portion of that balance is -- has to be -memory efficiency.

Obviously, everything that can be put into zero page should be put there,
since zero page instructions are two bytes instead of three. Equally obvious is that every list-type fetch should use the . X register, since there is no zero-page lda $x x, y$ instruction.

Moreover, memory is initialized to various values when the computer is reset. Many zero-page locations have specific values -- by careful code design, these can be taken advantage of to avoid any initialization code. For example, many times the code needs a zero-page pointer with the low byte zero (i.e. sta (point),y). If that variable is chosen as a location which is already zero, the code doesn't have to waste four bytes on lda \#00 sta point. One-time counters can also be used in this way. Finally, certain locations can be manipulated to have certain values; for example, the Tetrattack load address forces the end address +1 to be $\$ 10 f 0$, because $\$ 10$ and $\$ f 0$ are the $z$-coordinates of two object vertices.

There is also a fairly substantial kernal to take advantage of -- routines to clear the screen, perform memory manipulations, and so on. Knowing what is available, and what the routines do, is handy.

Self-modifying code comes in handy when large portions of code can be used for similar things with just a few changes. For example, the line routine in Tetrattack uses a single routine for lines in both the $x$ - and y-directions, by just interchanging a few INX/INY instructions.

And finally, there are things which Mark Seelye terms "injections", which seems like a good term to me. The idea is to reuse known register values whenever possible. That is, instead of having LDA \#00 STA zp in a subroutine, you might put an "STX zp" in an earlier subroutine, where you know the value of . X is zero. In other words, the instruction to clear the zp location is "injected" into a different subroutine to save a couple of bytes. Every program below uses this trick in one form or another.

You'll see lots of neat tricks in the articles ahead, but the basic design framework is: put stuff in zero-page, take advantage of default zero-page values, take advantage of the kernal, always know the register values, and reuse as much code as possible.

With that, let's check out the programs.
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Postmortem: Codebreaker
by White Flame (aka David Holz)
http://white-flame.com
The most difficult part of making an entry for this compo was deciding what game to do that could fit in 512 bytes. The ubiquitous snake clones and scroll/dodge games abound; finding something different is truly a challenge. I wanted to do a Mastermind game a looong time ago, with a full-on "We're getting attacked, solve to code to save the world!" type of setting with lots of animation, but usually found myself in application and library/utility programming instead. But luckily this idea popped back into my head as something different that would fit in the 2 -page limit.
The concept is pretty simple (as most 512-byte games are). There's a 4-color secret key, you get 10 guesses at it. Two numbers reflect the score for the current guess: a black number shows how many slots have the right color, and a white number shows how many correct colors are in the guess, but in the wrong slot. Experienced players should be able to deduce the correct answer in 4-6 guesses.

## Random number generation

There are 3 obvious ways to get random numbers easily in the 64: SID oscillator with noise waveform, BASIC prng, or the raster counter. I decided to go for the raster solution. Obviously, you need to wait a certain amount of time between reads of the raster location, or they'd be the same or linear. To fix this, every time I wanted a random number, I'd read the raster and call a loop that many times. When it was done, \$d012 should have a "random enough" number in its lower 3 bits (to get a color from 0 to 5).
randomDelay:
ldx \$d012
randomLoop:

delay: | jsr delay |
| :--- |
| dex |
| bne randomLoop; |
| dey |
| bne delay |
| rts |

Code space saving
What's interesting is that it actually took less bytes of code and data to have custom draw loops for the 3 textual messages than setting a pointer and calling a drawText function.
ldy \#22
titleloop:
lda titletext,y
sta screenLoc-48,y
dey
bpl titleloop:
These functions assume that you're not running on an ancient kernal, so color memory is initialized to the cursor color (white).

I also had all variables (except the secret key) use screen memory, color memory, or register . Y instead of manipulating off-screen variables and then updating the screen to match:

- For entering a guess, color memory is INC'd and DEC'd, with .Y holding the current offset from the fixed screen location. JSR \$e8ea is used to scroll the screen, and everything is drawn to screen line 23.
- To find out if you've already made 10 guesses, a memory location near the top of the screen was polled to see if it was still a space. As guesses are made, the screen was scrolled, and if the title line ever scrolled into that memory location, it's game over.
- The current guess score '0 0' is drawn to the screen first, then those screen values are INC'd or DEC'd as matches are found.
- Winning constitutes checking to see if the screen has a '4' in the black score location.


## Score calculation

This was a bit of a pain. At first $I$ trying to calculate the white score by the scoring rules (every matching color that was in the wrong slot), INCing the white score on every hit, then looping for exact matches, INCing the black score on every hit: This code ended up being way too big, so I got the idea to simplify the white loop: INC the white score on every color match, whether in the right spot or not, then on every black match, DEC the white score and INC the black score. This gave the same result, but with much simpler code.

## Conclusion

All in all, it was an interesting challenge for me, and had I not gotten stuck in Phoenix for an extra week, I'd have finished my 2 k entry. The code is pretty straightforward, and at 415 bytes total, I'm quite happy to claim having the smallest entry, even if I didn't win (why Pacman didn't beat out a low-res scroll/dodge/single-shot game is a mystery to me). Being a hobbyist C64 programmer, and my career history being more on the research end of things, having a deadline is a nice change in terms of getting a project completed. :)

Publisher: Gasman/Raww Arse
Number of full-time developers: 1 programmer
Number of contractors: 0
Estimated budget: 0 USD (0 EUR)
Development time: About 5 hours
Release date: August 22, 2001
Platforms: Commodore 64 and compatibles
Development hardware: pee sea (1.4GHz T-bird, 512MB RAM, 100GB HD, Win98SE)
3rd party tools: xa, Vice, Notepad
In-house tools: none
Project size: 290 lines of 6502 assembly code, containing 1 line of tokenized BASIC

```
--- cb.asm ---
.word $0801 ;Starting address for loader
* = $0801
word nextLine ;Line link
.word $0 ;Line number
byte 158';SYS
.byte 50,48,54,54 ;"2066"
.byte 0
nextLine .byte 0,0 ;end of basic
screenGameOver = $0400+(4*40)+16
screenLoc = $0400+(23*40)+16
colorLoc = $d800+(23*40)+16
screen = $fd
color = $fb
peg = 81
cursor = 87
crsr_up = 145
crsr_down = 17
crsr_left = 157
crsr_right = 29
WaitStart:
jsr $ffe4
beq WaitStart
Start:
;Change to white
lda #$05
jsr $ffd2
;Clear screen
lda #147
jsr $ffd2
```

```
;Set bg colors
```

;Set bg colors
1da \#\$00
1da \#\$00
sta \$d020
sta \$d020
sta colorLoc
sta colorLoc
sta colorLoc+1
sta colorLoc+1
sta colorLoc+2
sta colorLoc+2
sta colorLoc+3
sta colorLoc+3
sta \$ff ;for cursor
sta \$ff ;for cursor
lda \#11 ;dark gray
lda \#11 ;dark gray
sta \$d021
sta \$d021
;Init zp pointers
lda \#<screenLoc
sta screen
sta color
lda \#>screenLoc
sta screen+1
lda \#>colorLoc
sta color+1
;Draw text
ldy \#22
titleloop:
lda titletext,y
sta screenLoc-48,y
dey
bp1 titleloop:
;Randomize values
iny
newGuess
sty \$02
guessAgain
jsr randomDelay
lda \$d012
and \#\$07
cmp \#\$06
bpl guessAgain
ldy \$02
checkLoop: ;See if the color's already in the key
dey
bmi goodGuess

```
```

    cmp secret,y
    beq guessAgain
    bne checkLoop
goodGuess: ;Save the color and put a peg on the screen to show progress
ldy \$02
sta secret,y
lda \#peg
sta (screen),y
iny
cpy \#\$04
bne newGuess
Game_Loop:
;Get cursor pos
ldy \$ff
;Draw cursor
lda \#cursor
sta (screen),y
Key_Loop:
;Wait for key
getin: jsr \$ffe4
beq getin
ldy \$ff
;If up, bump color up
cmp \#crsr_up
bne notUp
lda (color),y
and \#\$07
adc \#\$00 ;carry is always set after the cmp
cmp \#\$06
bne colorNotHigh
lda \#\$00
colorNotHigh:
sta (color),y
notUp:
;If down, bump color down
cmp \#crsr_down
bne notDown
lda (color),y
and \#\$07
bne colorNotLow
lda \#\$06
colorNotLow:
sbc \#\$01 ; carry is always set after the cmp
sta (color),y
notDown:
;If left,
cmp \#crsr left
bne notLeft
; Erase cursor
lda \#peg
sta (screen),y
; Bump cursor left
dey
bp1 cursorOKLeft
ldy \#\$03
cursorOKLeft:
; Draw cursor
Ída \#cursor
sta (screen),y
notLeft:
;If right,
cmp \#crsr_right
bne notRight
; Erase cursor
lda \#peg
sta (screen),y
iny
cpy \#\$04
bne cursorOKRight
ldy \#\$00
cursorOKRight:

```
```

    lda #cursor
    sta (screen),y
    notRight:
;Store cursor pos
sty \$ff
;If not enter, goto Key_Loop
cmp \#13
bne Key_Loop
;------------------------
;Erase cursor
lda \#peg
sta (screen),y
;Check score
;Draw "0 0"
lda \#'0
sta screenLoc+5
sta screenLoc+7
lda \#0 ;black
sta colorLoc+5
;Calculate white score (any matches between the guess \& key)
ldy \#3
yLoop:
ldx \#3
xLoop:
lda (color),y
and \#\$07
cmp secret,x
bne noWhiteMatch
inc screenLoc+7
noWhiteMatch
dex
bpl xLoop
dey
bpl yLoop
;Calculate black score (exact matches between the guess \& key)
ldy \#3
blackLoop:
lda (color),y
and \#\$07
cmp secret,y
bne noBlackMatch
inc screenLoc+5
dec screenLoc+7
noBlackMatch:
dey
bp1 blackLoop
;Check win (black score = "4")
lda \#'4
cmp screenLoc+5
beq Win
;Check for Game Over (screen's getting full)
lda screenGameOver
cmp \#32
bne Game_Over
;Scroll screen up 2 rows
jsr \$e8ea
jsr \$e8ea
;Copy last hand
ldy \#3
copyLoop:
lda colorLoc-80,y
sta (color),y
lda \#peg
sta (screen),y
dey
bp1 copyLoop:
jmp Game_Loop

```
```

Game_Over:
ldy \#8 ;Show "YOU SUCK!"
loseloop:
lda losetext,y
sta (screen),y
dey
bp1 loseloop:
jsr \$e8ea
ldy \#3 ;Reveal the secret key
losecopy:
lda secret,y
sta (color),y
lda \#peg
sta (screen),y
dey
bpl losecopy
jmp WaitStart
Win:
jsr \$e8ea
ldy \#15 ;Show Zero Wing reference
winloop:
lda wintext,y
sta screenLoc-4,y
dey
bpl winloop
rts
randomDelay:
ldx \$d012 ;Loop n times, n = current raster location
randomLoop:
jsr delay
dex
bne randomLoop;
delay:
dey
bne delay
rts
wintext
.byte 1,32,23,9,14,14,5,18,32,9,19,32,25,15,21,33
losetext
.byte 25,15,21,32,19,21,3,11,33
titletext .byte 58,58,58,32,3,54,52,32,3,15,4,5,2,18,5,1,11,5,18,32,58,58,58
secret = *
--- end cb.asm ---
.......
....
.
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Tinyplay by SLJ
------
Like many people, I was a serious Ultima guy growing up. Not only did I love the games (and still do), but I absolutely loved the music (and still do). In fact, I think the music from III and IV is some of the finest, most musical composing ever done on the C64, and the best example of how appropriate, atmospheric music can add volumes (so to speak) to a game.
It also turns out that I had been thinking about some new ideas for a new music system for a while. So when Robin said he was writing an Ultima-like game -- well! I'm certainly no Kenneth $W$. Arnold, but a set of tiny tunes sure sounded like a neat and fun thing to do, especially in the Ultima style! Robin removed a lot of neat features and text to add in the music, so I feel pretty honored to have been included.
The player and tunes were written on pretty short notice; I think we started a week or so before the deadline. Moreover, I had to go out of town the weekend of the deadline, getting back that Sunday, where many of the optimizations took place in frantic coding sessions! So it shouldn't be surprising that the code is not as optimal as it could be -- if you get around to looking at the source code, you'll see an awful lot of weird, duplicate, and otherwise embarrasing' lines of code which anyone with a' clear head would never have used. But as is, the player and three tunes take up some 428 bytes (I think), which isn't too bad. (Later on I'll describe

```
a music compression routine which would have worked great, but that I didn't have time to implement.)

Broadly speaking, the player is a note-duration based player, using two sawtooth voices for that Ultima sound (originally, before reality kicked in, the thinking was to put some sound fx in the third voice). It uses a single play routine, using the .X register to tell which voice to play, and can play multiple tunes. The original version has several effects included like major and minor arpeggios, but memory constraints forced these to be taken out (along with the original tune, alas, alas).

The full source code for the player is at the end of this article, and can be divided into four primary parts: a one-time init routine, a routine to select a tune, a routine to play notes, and the music data. But perhaps the best way to understand the player is to begin by looking at how the data for a tune is stored.

Tune encoding

Here is the third, "castle" tune, in its entirety:
* Tune 3: Castle
t2v1
dfb 97
dfb \(24+\$ 80-1 \quad ;\) gate
dfb \(70,96,72\)
dfb \(6+\$ 80-1\)
dfb \(96,96,70,72\)
dfb \(12+\$ 80-1,7\)
dfb \(74,74,96,75\)
dfb \(24+\$ 80-1\)
dfb \(77,75,74,96,72\)
dfb \(6+\$ 80-1,7\)
dfb \(96,96,70,72\)
dfb \(24+\$ 80-1\)
dfb \(70,96,96,96\)
dfb 00
dfb 97
dfb \(24+\$ 80-1\)
dfb \(34,41,46,41\)
dfb \(34,41,46,41\)
dfb \(58,62,53,57\)
dfb \(58,53,46,96\)
dfb 0
end

The first chunk of data (t2v1) is the voice 1 data, and the second chunk the voice 2 data (t2v2).

There are 12 notes in an octave, and eight octaves total, for 96 possible notes. In the player, data bytes 0-95 represent these notes - the player just uses the data as an index into a frequency table. This leaves bytes 96 and above free for other uses; also, since the lower note values are rarely used, values like 0, 1, etc. are also free in principle.

Byte values >= 128 are used to specify the note duration. When the player encounters these byte values it simply strips the high bit and stores the result. When a new note is read in, this stored duration is placed into a counter which is decremented on each player call.

In the castle tune above, the duration for voice 2 is only set once, at the very beginning - every note has the same duration. In the voice 1 data it is set several times, to change the duration when appropriate.

For some reason the code decrements the duration counter until it becomes negative, instead of decrementing it until it becomes zero. I'm sure it was because of something relevant in an earlier version of the player, I just totally don't remember what. But that is why the durations above are encoded as 24+\$80-1 -- the -1 is to make it go negative, instead of to zero. Looks weird.

Byte values >95 and <128 are available for 'special' features. The player supports one "effect": the gate toggle. The player can either leave the gate bit on all the time or can turn it off right as a note is about to finish
(say, when the duration counter decrements down to 2). A byte value of 97 turns the gate toggle on - in the castle tune above it is the first byte of data. In other tunes, a value of 100 is used to turn off gate toggling. (Historically speaking, values 98 and 99 were used for major and minor arpeggios, and 100 was for no fx at all.)

The byte value 96 is used as a "rest" (or hold) -- the player resets the duration counter but does not reset the gate bit or the note value. When there is no gate toggling this provides a way of holding a note for longer than the basic duration. When there is gate toggling, it starts the release cycle, and lets notes fade away. In the castle tune above, the first two notes in voice 1 are "70 96" -- a note, then a rest, during which the note fades away.

Finally, the value 0 is used to indicate the end of data. While 0 is technically a note it never gets used as such. When the player hits a zero it simply resets the data pointer.

So with all that in mind, let's take a look at the player.

The Player

Before playing a tune, a one-time routine is called which sets up the frequency tables; when the player reads a new note, it looks up the SID frequency settings in this table, along the lines of:
```

ldy note, $x$
lda freqlo,y
sta \$d400
lda freqhi,y
sta \$d401

```

Frequencies in different octaves are related by powers of 2 . When you go up an octave, the frequency doubles -- for example, the frequency of \(C-5\) is twice the frequency of C-4. The routine starts with a table of twelve frequency values for the highest octaves, and copies those values into the end of the frequency table (e.g. freqlo+95, freqlo+94, ..., freqlo+84). After copying, it divides each value by 2 and repeats the process - now the frequencies correspond to the next lower octave -- and this is done until the frequency table is full. Piece of cake.

Once the frequency table is set up, the main play routine is called with the tune number in.A. If the tune number is different than the current tune, the player simply resets the music data pointers and note durations, and falls through to the main player routine.

And the main player routine simply decrements the duration, and when it becomes negative reads in the next data.
Because the process -- decrement duration, read next data, store frequencies in SID -- is the same for each voice, a single routine is used for both voices one and two, using.\(X\) as the voice index. That is, instead of
dec duration
the code can use
dec duration, x
and instead of
sta \$d400
the code can use something like
ldy SidOffset,x
sta \$d400,x
where . X is 0 or 1.
And that's about all there is to it -- the code is pretty straightforward.

Compressing Music

Most music has the property that it doesn't jump around all over the place, but rather notes progress in relatively small intervals (seconds, thirds, fifths, etc.). For example, a major chord (as used in a typical arpeggio) is
```

note note+4 note+7 note
So the idea is to use a differential scheme, encoding the note _intervals
instead of the note _values_. That is, the above could instead be encoded as
x 4 3 -
where each value is added to the current note value. Thus, if intervals are
restricted to -8..7 then only four bits are required, cutting the data size
in half. Well, not quite of course, because an escape code is needed to
specify notes outside of the -8..7 range, not to mention durations and fx
settings. Luckily, not all interval values are used, so these can be used
for escape codes; code 96 -- the rest or "hold" note -- can also use an
otherwise unused'value.
So the decompression code looks something like
read next four bits
if escape code then read next byte
otherwise add to current note value
Pretty simple, and leads to quite substantial savings. Ah, but for a little
more time...
Another possibility arises if not all note values are used. I set up the
frequency tables to contain all 12 notes in eight octaves. If not all twelve
notes are actually used -- if none of the tunes contain a D\# or whatever --
then a few bytes can be shaved off of the frequency table. But this means
a lot of renumbering and such... it all depends on just how much you want
those extra bytes!

```
And that's about all there is to it.
Source code
* Tiny music player, for the
* Tiny music player
*
* slj 9/23/01
* sjudd@ffd2.com
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & org \$2800-425 & org \$2800-491 \\
\hline ARPDEL & \(=2\) & \\
\hline SR & = \$c9 & \\
\hline GATEDEL & \(=2\) & \\
\hline curtune & \(=\) \$fe & \\
\hline curdur & \(=\) \$fc & \\
\hline tunepos & \(=\$ \mathrm{~b} 0\) & ;position in note sequence \\
\hline seqpos & = \$b2 & ;position in seq list \\
\hline dur & = \$b4 & ;2 bytes each \\
\hline notefx & = \$b6 & \\
\hline curnote & = \$b8 & \\
\hline newnote & = \$ba & \\
\hline arpdur & \(=\$ b c\) & \\
\hline arpoff & \(=\) \$bd & \\
\hline noteptr & = \$fa & \\
\hline temp & = \$fa & \\
\hline freqtab & \(=\) \$c000 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

* 
* Code begins here
* 

start

```
    do 0
    jmp InitFreq

```

    lda tunes,y
    beq SetTune
    bpl :c1
    and #$7f ;$80+dur
    sta curdur,x
    bne :next
    cmp #96
    bcc :setfreq
    beq :hold
    sta notefx,x
    bne :next
    | :note | ; sta curnote, $x$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\vdots$ |
| sta newnote, $x$ |  |
| jsr setfreq |  |

:SetFreq
asl
tay
lda Freqtab,y
pha Freqtab+1,y
ldy SidOffset,x
sta \$d401,y
pla
sta \$d400,y
:gate
lda \#\$21
ldy SidOffset,x
sta \$d404,y
:hold lda curdur,x
sta dur,x
DoFx ldy notefx,x
cpy \#97
bne AllDone
ldy dur,x
cpy \#GATEDEL
bcs :c1
lda \#\$20
ldy SidOffset,x
sta \$d404,y
:c1
AllDone
dex
bpl PlayTune
RTS

```
```

* Frequencies

```
* Frequencies
freq
freq
da 34334
da 34334
da }3637
da }3637
da }3853
da }3853
da }4083
da }4083
da }4325
da }4325
da }4583
da }4583
da }4855
da }4855
da }5144
da }5144
da 54502
da 54502
da }5774
da }5774
da }6117
da }6117
da }6481
da }6481
;arptab dfb 0,4,7
* Tune positions (offset into sequence
* list)
*
v1tunepos
    dfb t0v1-tunes
    dfb t1v1-tunes
    dfb t2v1-tunes
```

```
v2tunepos
    dfb t0v2-tunes
    dfb t1v2-tunes
    dfb t2v2-tunes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline tune & & ; dfb 00 & ; dummy byte \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{* Sid offset table} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{SidOffset} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { dfb } & 00 \\
\text { dfb } & 07
\end{array}
\] & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{t0v1 dfb 97}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & dfb
dfb
10 & ;dur=10 & \\
\hline & dfb 42, 47, 51 & & \\
\hline & dfb 42,47, 47,47 & & \\
\hline & dfb 51,51,51 & & \\
\hline & dfb 54,52,51 & & \\
\hline & dfb 52,51,49 & & \\
\hline & dfb 51, 51,51 & & \\
\hline & dfb 49, 49, 49 & & \\
\hline & dfb 51, 49, 47 & & \\
\hline & dfb 49, 47, 46 & & \\
\hline & dfb 44, 44, 44 & & \\
\hline & dfb 46, 46, 46 & & \\
\hline & dfb 47, 51, 47 & & \\
\hline & dfb 46, 42, 46 & & \\
\hline & dfb 47, 96, 96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 96,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 00 & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{20}{*}{t0v2} & & ; v2 & \\
\hline & dfb 97 & & \\
\hline & dfb 10+\$80-1 & & \\
\hline & dfb 35,35,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 35, 35,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 35,35,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 35,39,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 39, 42,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 42, 30, 96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 30, 35, 96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 35,34,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 34, 32,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 32, 32,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 32,32,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 32,34,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 34,35,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 35,30,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 35,35,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 35,35,96 & & \\
\hline & dfb 00 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

* Tune 2: Combat
t1v1

```
        dfb 100 ;No gate
        dfb 11+$80-1 ;dur=11
    dfb 51,54,58,59,96
    dfb 58,57,54,51,54,58,63,96
    dfb 62,61,60,59,56,53
    dfb 58,54,51,56,53
    dfb 46,50,53,56
    dfb 59,58,57,54
    dfb 0
t1v2 ;v2
    dfb 100
    dfb 11+$80-1
    dfb 15,27,15,39
    dfb 15,27,15,39
    dfb 15,27,15,39
    dfb 15,27,15,39
    dfb 47,44,41,51,46,42,47,44
    dfb 22,34
    dfb 22,34
```

dfb 22,34
dfb 22,34
dfb 0

* Tune 3: Castle
t2v1
dfb 97 ;gate
dfb 24+\$80-1
dfb 70,96,72
dfb 6+\$80-1
dfb 96,96,70,72
dfb 12+\$80-1
dfb 74,74,96,75
dfb 24+\$80-1
dfb 77,75,74,96,72
dfb 6+\$80-1
dfb 96,96,70,72
dfb 24+\$80-1
dfb 70,96,96,96
dfb 00
t2v2
dfb 97
dfb 24+\$80-1
dfb 34,41,46,41
dfb 34, 41, 46, 41
dfb 58,62,53,57
dfb 58,53,46,96
dfb 0
end
......
.
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=====================================1Part $3===================================$
MagerTris -- by Per Olofsson [magervalp@cling.gu.se](mailto:magervalp@cling.gu.se)
Way back in 1990 or thereabouts I wrote a Tetris clone in basic on the Amiga. When the compo was announced I scratched my head for a bit and figured that $I$ should have a good chance of fitting Tetris in 512 bytes. If I couldn't I would at least have a good engine for the 2 K compo.

The Game
This puzzle game was invented in the 80s by the Russian programmer Alexey Pazhitnov. The game has a vertical field where one of seven puzzle pieces appears at the top and falls towards the bottom. The player can rotate the piece 90 degrees or move it left or right. When it reaches the bottom the game field is checked for filled lines that are removed. The player is awarded points for removing lines (with a bonus for clearing more than one in one go) and the game is over when the screen fills up so that new pieces can't enter the game field.

Drawing the Screen
The screen is filled with inverted space and the game pieces and the border is drawn into the color ram. There aren't any real tricks used here, the only one is that the color of the border is a side effect of the last character printed, ascii 13 (light green).

Random Numbers
We need a decent source of random numbers to generate a random Tetris piece. The SID chip's noise waveform is probably the best one available on the C64, and fortunately the code to access it is really short. To initialize I used:

```
initrnd
```

```
subroutine
```

subroutine
lda \#\$81 ; enable noise
lda \#\$81 ; enable noise
sta \$d412 ; voice 3 control register
sta \$d412 ; voice 3 control register
sta \$d40f ; \$81xx as the frequency

```
    sta $d40f ; $81xx as the frequency
```

and to get an 8 -bit random number all you have to do is lda $\$ d 41 b$.
The Tetris Pieces

Tetris has 7 puzzle pieces that you have to store in a table. As every piece consists of four boxes we need a total of $7 * 4=28$ entries. The smallest tetris table is probably the one where each piece is represented by 8 bits, like this:

| 0010 | 0000 | 0011 | 0110 | 0100 | 0010 | 0110 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0111 | 1111 | 0110 | 0011 | 0111 | 1110 | 0110 |

The table is very compact, a mere 7 bytes, but then you need code to rotate every piece and accessing the table is somewhat clunky on the 6502. I've seen an x86 version that did this though, with a total size of less then 256 bytes. However, on the 6502 I needed four bytes for each piece for the code to be reasonably compact and I also kept all the rotated pieces in the table, for a total of 112 bytes. Fortunately, as every piece has a center box we only need to store 3 which trims the table to 84 bytes. But what do we actually store? As the screen is painted to color ram I used a pointer to the current position. In the table I simply stored the offset in color ram from the center box. With indirect indexed addressing the routine is nice and short.

## Failure

The rest of the program is fairly straight forward. User input is just a cmp loop, there are dec zp timers for most events, and a raster wait to time everything. This is also where I failed to fit everything inside the 512 byte limit, as everything put together took about 600 bytes, even after some serious optimizations. There are two very similar routines: the one that draws a piece on the screen and the one that checks for collisions. Both iterate through the four boxes in a piece, but because of the way I used some zeropage pointers I couldn't merge the two routines into one without rewriting most of the code.

## Success

As rewriting everything meant too much work, I just decided to go for plan B: write a 2 K entry. With a 600 byte engine there was plenty of space to add features, and at around 700 bytes or so compressíon starts to make sense -- pucrunch breaks even around there somewhere, and the final binary is actually 6500 bytes uncompressed. I could easily fit a title screen complete with custom graphics, a nice tile set for the tetris pieces, and even a hiscore list that saves to disk. The only trick I used here was that the custom character set was EOR:d with the ROM font, and as most characters are the same or very similar it compresses much better that way.

And that's the story of the 2 K MagerTris.
.......
...
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Tuff -- Compressing tiny programs

Most compression schemes address the problem of taking a large program or data file and compressing it down. But have you given much thought to the problem of trying to compress a _small_ program, even a 512-byte program?

I spent some time trying to come up with a compression scheme for tiny programs -- saving even 2 or 3 bytes in tetrattack would have been helpful. The effort was basically a failure, as the best I thought I could do was to break even (on paper, for tetrattack). I never actually implemented the code either -- just worked it out on paper.

It is fairly interesting though, and maybe these preliminary efforts will give other people ideas (especially for compressing 2 k programs). And after writing this article, I now think it might have really worked, and saved a handful of bytes -- maybe next year?

Tiny Compression Basics

If you remember your C=Hacking \#16, there are two basic approaches to compression: taking a fixed-length input while giving a variable-length output, or taking a variable-length input and producing a fixed-length output. An example of the latter is LZ compression -- looking for repeated
strings of length 2, 3, etc. and replacing those with a single byte (or two bytes, or whatever). An example of the former is a Huffman tree, which
replaces each byte with a string of bits, using fewer bits for the most common bytes.

To decompress the compressed data, of course, a decompression routine is needed. For tiny compression, this routine obviously needs to be as tiny as possible. Most C64 decompression programs copy themselves somewhere before decompressing the data stream to wherever it is supposed to go. Obviously, if we put a few restrictions on the data to be decompressed the decompression routine can be made smaller, and more specific to the task.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that a tiny program in general does not use all possible byte values.

Now, first consider Huffman encoding. In a typical Huffman decoding scheme, the decoder reads a bit at a time, traversing the Huffman tree with each bit, until a byte is hit. This implies a fairly complicated decoder, and worse the tree must be stored. Finally, the tree can be fairly large, if the number of possible symbols is large. So Huffman doesn't seem like a good approach.

So, consider LZ-style encoding: replacing $n$-byte sequences with a shorter code. In LZ, the $n$-byte code is replaced with a reference to the previously decompressed bytes - - a code which says how far back to go, and how many bytes to copy. What a drag -- an escape code, the distance backwards, and the number of bytes to copy. For a byte-aligned decompressor, this implies at least two bytes (since the decompression routine must be small, a bytealigned decompressor is of greater interest).

So far so bad. But, since a tiny program doesn't use all possible byte values, perhaps a simple substitution method is possible -- that is, replacing various 2-, 3-, 4- etc. byte sequences with one of those bytes. Alas, the numbers don't work out very well: in the above scheme, let's say an $n$-byte sequence appears $m$ times, for a total of $n * m$ bytes. We replace each of those sequences with a single byte, giving a savings of

$$
\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{m}
$$

bytes. But we also need to store the original sequence in a table somewhere, plus the byte corresponding to that sequence. This means another $n+1$ bytes, so the total savings is

$$
n * m-(m+n+1)
$$

So, for example, if we have a 2 -byte sequence repeated 3 times, the savings is

$$
2 * 3-(2+3+1)=0 \text { bytes! }
$$

The issue is that replacing a 2 -byte sequence with a 1-byte sequence saves one byte, and this must happen at least three times to overcome the three bytes of table storage. With 4 repetitions, one byte is saved. And so on. The net result is that you have to have a lot_ of sequences repeated a lot of times to get any savings, and somehow this savings needs to be greater than the decompression code.

Note that, in general, if there are only a few sequences to replace then some custom code can be shorter, but as the number of sequences increases then generic code, with sequences stored in tables, quickly becomes shorter.

The final dagger in the heart of these schemes is the program itself. I wrote a simple program to find all the repeated strings in tetrattack. There were numerous 2-byte sequences, but rarely repeated more than three times. There were a few 3 -byte sequences, and even one 4 -byte sequence. But overall, there just aren't enough sequences, especially long sequences, to make any sequence-shortening scheme worthwhile.

There are, however, lots of repeated bytes. For example, there are an awful lot of STA instructions and zp variables, and usually a lot of zeros. This suggests taking another look at a Huffman-style scheme to replace those bytes with a smaller number of bits.

One major problem identified earlier is storing the Huffman tree -- it's just too big. So, the first thing to consider is to just compress the most common bytes - maybe the top five or eight bytes or whatever - to make the tree smaller. But that still leaves a substantial decompression code to traverse the tree, and a tree with several empty nodes in it.

Once again, if there are only a few nodes then custom code might be shorter, but beyond a few nodes it's more practical to store the tree and have some
generic traversal code.
But consider the following alternative to a Huffman tree: what if we had a tree which looked like

where "lit" is a literal byte and b1, b2, etc. are encoded bytes. This would encode the most common byte with two bits (10), the next-most common byte with three bits (110), and so on, and encode literal bytes with nine bits (0byte).

To see if this is a viable scheme, check out the numbers. Let's say that we encoded just the top three most common bytes in a program; in an early version of tetratack those bytes were

| 00 | $\$ 2 F$ | occurances |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 03 | $\$ 1 A$ | occurances |
| 8 D | \$18 occurances | (ZP variable) |
| (STA opcode) |  |  |

In a real implementation, I'd choose $z p$ variables to be common opcodes like \$8D, which would double the number of occurances of that byte, but let's just consider the above numbers in a 512-byte program. Those top three bytes total $\$ 5 \mathrm{~A}$ bytes, almost $1 / 8$ of the total bytes (the top seven bytes take a little less than $1 / 3$ of the total, by contrast). The number of bits required is

$$
9 *(\$ 200-\$ 5 A)+2 * \$ 2 F+3 * \$ 1 A+4 * \$ 18=\$ F E 2 \text { bits }=\$ 1 F D \text { bytes. }
$$

so, a whopping 3 byte savings -- just enough to store the three "tree" values. For the top seven bytes, a similar calculation suggests \$01D8 bytes of compressed data, again for code not optimized for compression. For a more optimized code, with zp variables the same as common opcodes and such, the total bytes could conceivably be on the order of $\$ 100$ bytes - maybe 64 bytes of savings (but again, I never actually tried coding a more optimized version so I don't know for sure).

Of course, we haven't talked about decompression yet. One important feature of this tree is that the "treeness" of it is unimportant -- it's really just a substitution code. Remember that the data is encoded as

| $0+8$ bits | literal byte |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10 | byte 1 |
| 110 | byte 2 |
| 1110 | byte 3 |

and so on. A decompression algorithm simply reads bits until a 0 is found, and then looks up the relevant value in a table. The exception is if the first bit read is 0 , in which case the code needs to read the next eight bits and output the byte. There is no tree traversal, in the sense of looking up nodes and moving left/right, to speak of.
Reading bits is trickier than reading bytes. After every eight bits read some sort of memory pointer has to be incremented to the next eight bits. Moreover, when reading codes like 110 or 1110 the number of 1 's read has to be counted too, to be used as an index into the lookup table. And if there's a literal' byte then the next eight bits need to be read, regardless of their value.

When reading the bitstream, after every eight bits the pointer into that bitstream must be incremented. To count eight "global"bits at a time, I use a zp variable "count" and just rotate a bit through the different bit positions. Every time it turns zero, the data stream pointer is incremented. The advantage of this method is that it frees up a register (and winds up saving a byte overall).

To count the number of "local" bits read, I use the .Y register. Initially it is set to zero, and increments as 1 s are read. For a literal byte, I set. Y to -8, and put a little check in the bit reader for negative . Y; that way, it simply increments to . $\mathrm{Y}=0$.

When bit=0 is finally found, the code simply does an lda data,y to get the coded data. Since. Y counts the number of bits read,. Y will be at least 1 for any coded data, but . Y=0 for a literal byte as described above. Therefore, for a literal byte, the code can simply rotate bits into the
location "data", and use the same lda data,y instruction to fetch the literal byte.

With all that in mind, here's the code I finally came up with (on paper!):
Tuff+1
[data lookup table]
Source [compressed data bitstream]

for a total of 45 bytes, plus 7 bytes for the "Tuff" byte table -- total of 52 bytes, compared with a possible 64 byte savings (maybe I should have tried to implement this after all!). Hopefully someone can improve on this further. (And "Tuff", btw, is a contraction of "TinyHuff").

Now, there may be a few raised eyebrows here which I hope I'll lower. This code makes several assumptions. It can either be entered in at ":src", or at ":getbit" depending on the value of "count", the global bit counter. I assume count is already initialized (e.g. default kernal/restart value), either to 1 (in which case :getbit is the entry point) or $\$ 80$, in which case :src is the entry point, with the "Source" stream modified accordingly in each case.

I further assume that .axy have their default values of 0 when the routine is called from BASIC.

I also assume "zp" is initialized to the correct value already. One easy way to do this is to use \$ae/\$af, the end load address+1, but other options are certainly available.

Finally, you may have noticed that the thing just keeps outputting data until $z p=\$ 8000$ - - correct. Unless you really really care about garbage in memory above the program, there's no reason to waste bytes on an "end of data" check.

Another variant

I spent a little time looking at a variant of this scheme: using a fixed length bit sequence, for example, assigning four bits to each substitution byte, i.e.

| $0+$ | literal byte |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1000 | byte 1 |
| 1001 | byte 2 |
| 1010 | byte 3 |
| 1i11 | byte 8 |

The numbers work out pretty well, compression-wise, but at the time I felt the decompression code was more complicated and that it wouldn't break even. Looking at it now, though, I'm starting to think otherwise -- that loading three bits at a time isn't any more complicated that fetching eight bits so code can be reused, and there isn't any issue about checking for a 1 bit, so the decompression code ought to be even simpler. So this
may actually be the better scheme.
Sigh... I don't know about you, but every time I revisit a problem $I$ wind up feeling much stupider.

Final thoughts

So, that's it: assign shorter bit codes to the most common bytes, and use a highly optimized decompression routine. This method is very specialized, obviously, but might, just might, make it possible to save a few bytes on a tiny program that has been optimized for compression -- using zp locations the same value as common opcodes, reusing vars as often as possible, and so forth.

The reason this works is that, in general, repeated long strings in a small program just don't happen, whereas repeated bytes are common and make up a substantial fraction of the total.

Pelle (magervalp@cling.gu.se) has suggested the possibility using the first eight bytes of the compressed program for the 'Tuffman' table -- to structure the code to make these common bytes, and thereby save eight bytes by not having to store the 'tree'. Might save a few more bytes with some programs.

Finally, while writing this article, I started to wonder about byte sequences with "holes", for example, <byte skip byte skip> for things like STA zp1 STA zp2. I wonder if there's some way of taking advantage of these repeated "mask" patterns.

But I leave that thought for another person, for another day.
.
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## Tinyrinth

by Mark Seelye (aka Burning Horizon)
mseelye@yahoo.com
http://www, burninghorizon.com

## Introduction

When the mini-game competition was first announced I was originally not interested because of time considerations -- I spend most of my time at work, or watching the kids. But once I saw that nearly everyone on EFNet \#c-64 was doing one, I thought it would be fun if I could just keep it simple.

I had no idea what game to do. I had a few other ideas but I settled on a maze game because $I$ thought it'd be an interesting challenge doing a maze algorithm and have game code in under 512 bytes. As it turned out, I ended up getting the code down to like 475 bytes, but the version I turned in was 509 bytes. (I ended up shaving an additional 34 bytes just before the due date.)

The other reason for the maze game is $I$ have this weird thing $I$ do where in each language $I$ know I code this little maze generation algorithm that I made a long time ago. I had used the algorithm in ASP, VB, C, and a few others but for some reason I had never done it in 6502! Imagine that! It was going to be a bit of a challenge because I had never done it in so few instructions, and never in ASM. It would have to lose some weight and fluff but I knew I could do it. So obviously there are MANY better ways to generate a maze, but in light of trying to make it small this routine ended up being such a strange mutation of my idea that I'm surprised it worked at all.

Setup
Before I do anything I must setup some things. This is also used when a player dies OR enters a new level. The only difference between a reset of the game and moving to the next level is the ldx \#\$00: sta $\$ 53$. The $\$ 53$ is also used to set the color of the maze so it rotates from a level one of white, to a level 16 of BLACK (which is evil because then you can't see the maze except for the small hint of the corners on a turn.)

```
; Initialization
setup = *
gameover = *
    ;Setup game variables
    ldx #$00
init = *
    ;Setup level
```

| inc \$53 | next level |
| :---: | :---: |
| ldx \$53 |  |
| stx \$54 | ;Counter for drawing Keys (next level) |
| stx \$0286 | ; Character Color to use on clear (e544) |
| ; Set Render Cursor Start Pos / Player Color |  |
|  |  |
| sta EBCM1 | ;Set ebcm color PLAYER to GREEN (\$d022) |
| sta \$45 | ; Cursor/Player Position X (0-39) |
| sta \$46 | Cursor/Player Position Y (0-24) |
| ;Clear Screen |  |
| lda \#\$5b |  |
|  |  |
| sta \$d011 | ;turn on EBCM |
| lda \#\$18 |  |
| sta \$d018 | ;Activate Cset |

As you can see that I mention extended color background mode, I'll talk about that later. You also might notice I use a character set, but that I will talk about a little later as well, first $I$ want to jump right into the maze generation.

Maze Algorithm
The easiest way to describe how the algorithm works is to compare it to a worm. The worm eats through an area until it gets blocked by one of its former meals. While eating the worm is counting how many meals he has, once he gets to a certain number he knows he can eat no more.

With that said, I'm sure you're completely confused and probably think (know?) I'm crazy. So now for the technical explanation. Starting with a 2-dimensional grid of some size, initialized with 0's, set a start point for the formation of the maze within the grid somewhere. After that has been taken care of the rest follows simple logic:

```
Have we reached every part of the grid?
    if so: done;
    if not: Can we grow the maze into an adjacent area from the
                current position?
            if so: Grow in one of those directions at random, count it,
                    continue;
            if not: Find a place in the maze that can grow, continue
```

I had to change the order of the logic around to get it to better fit into a smaller number of bytes. So I moved the "find a place to grow from" routine, and the "Have we reached every part of the grid" check after the check if we can grow in any direction from the current position.

To check in all directions from the current position $I$ used a subroutine. The subroutine has 5 entry points which translate to: cangrow up/right/down/ left, and the 5 th entry point is actually the first entry point - it checks to see what is in .a and checks the direction that value represents. To check the direction I use a kernal routine to set some zp so I can easily check the value on the screen to the left, right, below, or above the current position. This is also where the boundaries are set: 40 columns wide and 25 characters high.

```
popgridloop = *
    ldy $45
    ldx $46
cangrowxy = *
;xpos
    lo = *
    jsr cgup logry mecheck up 
    inx _cgxy ;offset up check
    jsr cgright ;check right
    beq _cgxy ;if 0 then we can grow
    dey -cgxy ;offset right check
    jsr cgdown ;check down
    beq _cgxy ;if 0 then we can grow
    dex ;offset down check
    jsr cgleft ;check left
_cgxy beq growloop ;if 0 then we can grow
```

....The subroutine!... There is a weird "injection" in the bottom of this
routine to save a couple bytes.. it is explained in the comments to the code.
;Check if a cell can grow a direction
;1-up 2-right 3-down 4-left
(y xpos, x ypos, a=dir) x/y switched for indirect lda(\$xx),y below
return: a == 0 : true (can move)
a <> 0 : false (cannot move)

```
cangrow = *
    cmp #$01
    beq cgup
    cmp #$02
    beq cgright
    cmp #$03
    beq cgdown
    ;cmp #$04
    ;beq cgleft *** not needed falling through
cgleft = *
    dey #$ff ;set xpos - 1
    cpy #$ff ;check xpos
    beq cgno
    bne cgloadcell
cgright = *
    iny ;set xpos + 1
    cpy #$28 ;check xpos (<40)
    beq cgno
    bne cgloadcell
cgup = *
    dex ;set ypos - 1
    cpx #$ff ;check xpos
    beq cgno
    bne cgloadcell
cgdown =
    inx ;set ypos + 1
    cpx #$19 ;check ypos (<25)
    beq cgno
cgloadcell = *
cgloadcell = =
    lda #$1f
loadcell = =** ;x = ypos, y = xpos, a = and value
    sta $50
    jsr $e9f0 ; * sets $d1 $d2
    lda ($d1),y ' ;load byte (x pos in y reg!)
cgno = *
    and $50 ;#$1f = use only low 5 bits!
    ;rts see below!
; This is mixed with the rts because the first byte would
; be wasted
growfrom = *
    rts
    byte 1
; this is part growfrom part growto 48 is used for both
;}\mathrm{ again first byte would be wasted so we overlap with the previous
growinto = *
    .byte 2, 4, 8, 1, 2
```



```
*From Mapping: ;59888 $E9F0
    ;Set Pointer to Screen Address of Start of Line
    ;This subroutine puts the address of the first byte of the
    ;screen line designated by the .X register into locations
    ;209-210 ($D1-$D2).
```

Well, if the code at "cangrowxy" discovers that it cannot grow in any direction from the current position, it has to find a place to grow from. To do this it falls into the "findgrow" routine; the findgrow routine is interesting because it is a "stateful" routine, meaning that upon reentry it resumes what it was doing before. The reason for this is I didn't want it restarting at the top of the maze each time it entered this find routine - I wanted it to continue to search from where it left off. This routine works by "walking" the current $x$ and $y$ positions through the grid. To walk it through it keeps track of where it left off and it just keeps setting the $x$ and $y$ pointers to the next place and "returns" to the start of the generation portion of the code.

The other advantage to doing it this way is I can reset this routine to place a "key" at a dead end in the maze. This reset is done elsewhere in the maze by setting a zp value to 0 . When the reset is done the findgrow routine runs a small piece of code to reset itself, but before it does this it places a key if it has not exceeded the max number of keys.

Here is the find grow routine, each line of code is documented to hint at what it is doing:

```
; *** fall into findgrow
```

```
findgrow = *
    lda $4b ; Check byte 0 != resume findgrow
    bne fgresume
    sta $49 ;Reset Findgrow Xpos
    sta $4a ;Reset Findgrow Ypos
    inc $4b ;Set findgrow flag to resume (<>0)
    ;Place keys in corners (injected here for ease of placement, d1/d2 is
    ;pointed at a dead end)
    iny fogresume ;'Do not try when column is 0, it freaks out
```



```
    beq fgresume ;if 0 then keys are done
    dec $54 ; dec # of keys left to place
    inc $51 ;actual num keys left
    lda ($d1),y ;load byte
    ora #$c0 ; EBCM value for key!
    sta ($d1),y ;store new value
    ;(end of injection)
_fgresume = *
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \({ }_{-} \mathrm{fg} x\) & ldx & \$4a & ;Findgrow ypos \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{-fgy} & ldy & \$49 & ; Findgrow xpos \\
\hline & inc & \$49 & ; Next xpos (next round) \\
\hline & cpy & \#\$28 & ; < 40 \\
\hline & beq & _fgcr & ; next line if >= 40 \\
\hline & jsr & cgloadcell & ; load cell byte \\
\hline & beq & ¢ \({ }^{\text {fyy }}\) & i if 0 then get next xpos/byte \\
\hline & sty & \$45 & ; Set Current xpos \\
\hline & stx & \$46 & ; Set Current ypos \\
\hline \multirow{7}{*}{_fger} & jmp & cangrowxy & ; Check if this can grow \\
\hline & 1da & \#\$00 & ;Reset Findgrow xpos \\
\hline & sta & \$49 & ; \(0-\) xpos \\
\hline & inc & \$4a & ;Next Findgrow ypos \\
\hline & lda & \$4a & \\
\hline & cmp & \#\$19 & ; check ypos (<25) \\
\hline & bne & ¢ \({ }_{\text {fameinit }}\) & ;If we're at \(x 40 y 25\) we are rea ;Start game logic \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

That code also checks to see if we have completed generating the maze, at which point it enters the game logic. But before we jump into that $I$ have to explain what happens when the "cangrowxy" routine discovers that it CAN GROW, instead of falling into the "findgrow" routine.

In the "cangrowxy" routine described a bit above there was the final line of:
_cgxy beq growloop ;if 0 then we can grow
This "growloop" routine is the place where the actual "growing" or "eating" occurs. This routine works by choosing a direction at random, and attempting to grow in that direction. It reuses the same "cgup" etc. routines that the "cangrowxy" uses because although we know we can grow in a direction, we don't know which direction. In a larger version of this algorithm I usually link all of this stuff together, but this is how it worked out in tinyrinth.

```
growloop = *
randdir = *
    ijsr getrand; not a func, not reused yet
    lda #$80
        sta $028a ; Key Repeat; (injected here for #$80) just using #$80 for
                ;smaller code
        sta $d412 ;sta $d404 d412 is V3, d404 is V1!!
        sta $d40f ;set v3 random # gen bits
        lda $d41b ; read random number from V3
        and #$03 ; Force Random number to 0-3
        clc #$01 ; Add 1 to get 1-4
        sta $4c ; store rand direction
        ldy $45 ; Current Xpos
        ldx $46 ; Current Ypos
        jsr cangrow ; Check if we can grow in that direction
        bne randdir ; if <> 0 then Try again
        sta $4b ; reset findgrow flag (injected here for .a==0)
grow = *
        ldx $4c ;Get saved rand direction
        lda growinto,x ; 1-4 (4, 8, 1, 2) Get bit set for new cell
        sta ($d1),y ; write new location
        lda growfrom,x ; 1-4 (1, 2, 4, 8) Get bit to set for old
```

| sta \$4d | Save growfrom bit |
| :---: | :---: |
| ldy \$45 | Reload Current xpos |
| ldx \$46 | Reload Current ypos |
| jsr cgloadcell | Load base cell again |
| ora \$4d | ; Combine with growfrom bit |
| sta (\$d1),y | ;Modify old cell to connect to new loc |
| ; Change current | position |
| 1da \$4c | ; Get saved rand direction |
| jsr cangrow | ; Get new x y again - (this will only perform next x/y |
| sty \$45 | ; xpos set to new location |
| stx \$46 | ; ypos |
| jmp popgridloop | ; Return to populate grid loop |

As you can see above $I$ use the random number generator from $V 3$ to get the random direction. A HUGE bonus here is I can use the value returned to directly call that main entry point in "cangrow" so it will figure out which direction to check by itself. If the direction it checks is bad it just loops and tries again. In a larger version of the program I'd make this much more efficient, but efficiency costs bytes. Many coders would be afraid of using a loop like this but since I pre-checked that I can grow before entering this loop, unless my random number generator never returns that direction, I should be fine!

Once we have a good direction $I$ use the already set $\$ d 1 \mathrm{zp}$ to read and update the screen data. Nice bonus of using the routine that sets it! The code that updates the data must update the cell you are growing to, but ALSO it must update the cell you are growing from, which is why I have to reload the original data up there. When it is finished doing the growing it moves the $x / y$ positions ( $\$ 45, \$ 46$ ) to the place it grew to; and resumes the maze generation from there.

As I said before, the findgrow routine will jump into the game logic once it knows it is finished, but before we talk about that $I$ should describe another problem that I needed to solve.

In a 2 dimensional maze there are 16 possible pieces that can be formed. Starting with completely closed ending with completely open. I represent these pieces with numbers $0-15$; each bit represents a side of a cell that is open/closed. So when I finish with the maze generation I have a grid of numbers 0-15. (Actually 1-14; in this game I never end up with 0's or 15's as all pieces are used.) In Tinyrinth the "grid" I used was the screen memory ( $40 \times 25$ ), so I ended up with a screen full of characters $A-N$.

Navigating a maze of characters $A-N$ would be rather difficult so I had to decide whether to use the built in character set and transform what was on the screen or somehow use a character set. Well, another hitch was I wanted this to be a game and losing the simplicity of 0-15 for detecting which way a player could move would be bad - so I opted for a character set of 16 characters. Hmmm 8 bytes per character time 16 characters.. 128 bytes! Yikes!

## Character Set Generation

So I was going to need a 128 byte character set, but I didn't want to have to lose 128 bytes. So what did I do? I coded about 70 bytes of code to generate the character set. This too pained me because I was sure I could make it smaller somehow, but once $I$ got it working and a decent percentage smaller than the size of what it produced I stopped worrying about it.

Here is how it works: we know we want to represent the 16 pieces with 0-15 so the bits must give some hint to the shape of the piece. What I did was create a loop to check the current counter value and either set or skip the top, sides, bottom of the piece. Here is how it works, I have commented each line of code to describe what it is doing.

| Generate | Cset! |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ida \#\$20 | write hi |  |
| sta $\$ 48$ |  |  |
| lda \#\$00 | ; use zp |  |
| ldo |  |  |

;Initialize Screen, variables (injected here to save bytes - using ; lda \#\$00) sta $\$ 51$; Clear actual num keys placed counter (see findgrow) sta \$d020 sta EBCM0; Set BG Color (\$d021)
; (end of injection)
_again

| tax | ; counter $=0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| sta $\$ 47$ | use zp |
| ldy \#\$00 | index |
| txa | counter to a |
| and \#\$01 | cher check for top |
| beq ytop | ; yes top |



I still think I could have made that smaller; either way though it was fun making a little routine to generate the cest. With that all said, we now know how the maze is generated. But now I needed a way to play it, as suggesting players use dry erase markers on their screens was not a great idea.

```
Game Loop
```

    Ok, once "findgrow" reaches the end of its maximum size it branches to
    "gameinit". Game init sets up some quick things and gets going on the game
play. The game loop loops until the player either gets all the keys or dies.
When the player gets all the keys the level number (\$53) is increased which is
used to increase the maximum number of keys and the color of the maze!
Here is the game loop, I have commented much of the code and separated it
into sections:
*** Flashes the keys, sets speed of "minitaur" based on the level
; Game Initialization and Game Loop
gameinit = *
gameloop=*
inc EBCM3 ; Flash Keys (\$d024)
inc \$58 ; Increase Speed counter \#1 (0-255)
bne moveplayer ; Skip move
inc \$57 ; Increase Speed counter \#2 (\$57|\#\$f0 - 255)
bne moveplayer ; Skip Move
; set death speed
lda \$53 ;Use level for Speed value
cmp \#\%11111000 ;If more than this use default speed
bmi dsp $\begin{aligned} & \text { dda } \\ & \text { \# } \\ & \text { l1111000 } \\ & \text {; Default speed }\end{aligned}$
_dsp ora \#\%11110000 ;Set high nibble so counter counts up to 255
sta \$57 ; Set Speed counter \#2
*** Moves the minitaur to the next position if it is time. (Checks for player
*** hits)

| ;move death |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| movedeath $=*$ |  |
| ldy $\$ 55$ | ;Baddy Xpos |
| ldx $\$ 56$ | Baddy Ypos |
| jsr cgloadcell | load the cell/point the zps (ANDs by \#\$1f) |
| sta (\$d1),y | ;itore cleared value |
| iny |  |
| increase xpos |  |
| cpy $\# \$ 28$ | iless than 40? |
| bmi go | ;don't reset |


*** Checks for key hits, minitaur hits, Check for end-level:
(jumps to next level if it is the end of the level, loops to the beginning of the game loop if not.)

.byte 2,1,4,3

To make this all work, I reused the "cangrow" routine again! Because basically it is the exact same logic. One thing that I found unfortunate is I never coded a way for the minitaurs to have any intelligence, there was an attempt that worked - but it was FAR too many bytes (over by like 20-30). So I just axed it. I also at one time made the movement routines all one
subroutine that took parameters that would move any "entity". This also took too many bytes to be useful for this version of the game. Perhaps some day I'll write a kick butt $1 k$ or $2 k$ version with all those features coded in.

Various Space Saving Techniques Used
If you look throughout the code, you'll notice I comment a lot on "injections". These are little bits of code that are conveniently put in places to take advantage of the state of a register, or a memory location.

Here is an example: in the "getrand" routine I injected a line to set the key repeat, using the value that $I$ wanted to also put into $\$ d 412$ for the random number generation.

```
lda #$80
sta $028a; Ket Repeat; (injected here for #$80) just using #$80 for smaller code
sta $d412 ;sta $d404 d412 is V3, d404 is V1!!
```

Another example, here $I$ mixed some static data together to save some bytes and just labeled it so some would be reused. To save additional bytes I also positioned the label in front of the "rts". (This was because the code accessing the data never used an index of 0. .) This is mixed with the rts because the first byte would be wasted

```
growfrom = *
    rts
    .byte 1
; this is part growfrom part growto 48 is used for both
; again first byte would be wasted so we over lap with the previous
growinto = *
    .byte 2, 4, 8, 1, 2
;explanation of above
\begin{tabular}{lllllll}
\(;\) & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 & \\
\(;\) \\
\(;\) & rts & 1 & 0 & 4 & 8 & 1 \\
\(;\) & 4 & 8 & 2 \\
\(;\) & & & 4 & 8 & 1 & 2
\end{tabular}
```

I also reused the "cangrow" routine as much as possible, and on top of that m made it more usable by making multiple entry points so it could be used in different way.

Finally the best thing I did was use kernal routines, like \$E544 to clear the screen to the set char color at \$0286. This allowed me to change the color of the maze each level just by changing the value at $\$ 0286$.

I also used \$e9f0, Mapping the c64 says, "Set Pointer to Screen Address of Start of Line. This subroutine puts the address of the first byte of the screen line designated by the . X register into locations 209-210 (\$D1-\$D2)." This was handy because $I$ could use this to read from and write to the screen.

Extended Color Background Mode
I could not use sprites. This made me sad, but there was just no room for it. So I just decided to allow the cset to repeat itself through the whole cset area (\$2000-\$2800) and use ECBM! This allowed me to just set a bit and make a maze piece a different color, what more when I read this value I could easily tell what the piece represented! That made collision detection very easy, and also allowed me to have cool flashing keys.

Conclusion
I had a LOT of fun coding this and look forward to another competition. I was surprised and amazed by the entries! This even inspired me to make a better version of Tinyrinth, of which I have not completed. I did add to it, I made a version in which the minitaurs move through the maze. I made another version that would also increase the number of minitaurs in the maze. I made yet another version that would change the size of the maze, and thought about changing the shape too. All of these ideas were easy to implement once I had the base game working.

Oh by the way, I know how to spell Minotaur, the Minitaur thing is just a bad joke. :)

Final Code Stats
Total source compiled: 445 lines in 1 file(s)
Symbol table: 29 global and 1 local constants, 0 global variables, 2 global and 15 local labels, 0 source labels.
Data size: 10 bytes
Code size: 475 bytes in 231 instructions
Memory block affected: \$1000 - \$11E4 (total size: 485 bytes)
Source
Note: This source is not the source $I$ released for the event. It is a bit
smaller, I cannot locate my original source for some reason. Also, some of it may differ a tiny bit from the code documented above. I had written the article using the wrong copy of the source, I have attempted to go back and update it though. (Note to self: keep better records!) :)

Another note: This source compiles with c64asm by Balint Toth. :)

```
-- tinyrinth.asm --
; Tinyrinth
    entry for a 512b game contest
    Burning Horizon/FTA
    Mark Seelye
; mseelye@yahoo.com
; ====================================================================
* = $1000
\begin{tabular}{rlllrl}
;name & loc & desc & color & ecbm & bits \\
EBCM0 \(=\$ d 021 ;\) & untouched & black & \(\$ 00\) & 00 \\
EBCM1 & \(=\$ d 022\) & cursor & red & \(\$ 40\) & 01 \\
EBCM2 & \(=\$ d 023\) & touched & green & \(\$ 80\) & 10 \\
EBCM3 \(=\$ d 024\) & \(;\) keys & yellow & \(\$ c 0\) & 11
\end{tabular}
```

;ZPs used: (Consolidation Possible if needed)
;43/44 - Not Used
;45/46 - Current X/Y Position, Maze Generation \& Game
;47/48 - CSet Location, CSet Generation
;49 - Temp Storage, CSet Generation
;49/4a - Xpos/Ypos Findgrow, Maze Generation
;4b - Flag, Findgrow, Maze Generation
; 4 c - Temp Storage, , randdir, Maze Generation
;4d - Temp Storage, grow, Maze Generation
; 4e/4f - Temp Storage, glmove, Game
;50 - Temp Storage, loadcell, Maze Generation \& Game
;51 - Numkeys Left' in level ${ }^{\prime}$ (affected by: destroyed \& found keys)
;52 - not used
;53 - Current Level
;54 - \# of Keys to try and place, gameinit, Game
;55/56 - X/Y Pos of Death
;57/58 - speed counter for death
;Collect all keys
; gen crummb path for visited areas?
; once all keys collected next maze is gen'd
; Initialization
setup = *
gameover = *
;Setup game variables
ídx \#\$00
init $=$ * stx $\$ 53$; Start at level 1 (to be inc'd)
init - ;Setup level
inc $\$ 53$; next level
ldx $\$ 53 \quad$; Counter for drawing Keys (next level)
stx \$0286 ;Character Color to use on clear (e544)
;Set Render Cursor Start Pos / Player Color
lda \#\$05
sta EBCM1 ;Set ebcm color PLAYER to GREEN (\$d022)
sta \$45 $\quad$ Cursor/Player Position $\times(0-39)$
sta \$46 ; Cursor/Player Position Y (0-24)
;Clear Screen
j'sr \$E544 ;clear screen set to char color (\$0286)
lda \#\$5b
sta \$d011 ;turn on EBCM
lda \#\$18
sta \$d018 ;Activate Cset
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Generate } & \text { Cset! } \\ \text { Ida } \# \$ 20 & \text { write hi } \\ \text { sta } \$ 48 & \text { use zp } \\ \text { lda } \# \$ 00 & \text { write lo }\end{array}$
;Initialize Screen, variables (injected here to save bytes - using lda \#\$00)
sta $\$ 51$; Clear actual num keys placed counter (see findgrow)
sta \$d020
sta EBCM0; Set BG Color (\$d021)
; (end of injection)

```
counter = 0
_again stax $47 ; counter
    counter to a
    check for top
    yes top
    00000001 -> 0111111110 -> 10000001
    ; 00000000 -> 111111111
    ; store top/sides to cset
    ; next mem location
    ; counter to a
    check for right
    flip
    00000010 -> 00000001 || 0->0
    store for right side
    counter to a
    check for left side
    no left
    ; 00000000 -> 10001000 -> 10000000
    00001000 -> 00000000
    merge with right
    7->15->23->...
    total of 6 side pieces
    counter to a
    check for bottom
    no bottom
    00000100 -> 01111110 -> 10000001
    00000000 -> 11111111
    store bottom to cset
    next counter
    clear carry
    inc zp
    by }
    do it again
    ;repeat through cset 2000-2800
    bne _again
    sty EBCM2 ;Set Death color ($d023) (using result of cset gen for color value!)
popgridloop = *
    ;can grow from current?
    ldy $45 ;xpos
    ldx $46 ;ypos
    ;Can grow in any direction?
cangrowxy = *
            jsr cgup llocheck up 
            beq_cgxy lif
    jsr cgright ;check right
    beq _cgxy ;if 0 then we can grow
    dey ;offset right check
    jsr cgdown ;check down
    beq _cgxy ;if 0 then we can grow
    dex ;offset down check
    jsr cgleft ;check left
_cgxxy beq growloop ;if 0 then we can grow
findgrow = in
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
lda \(\$ 4 \mathrm{~b}\) & ; Check byte \(0 \quad\) ! \(=\) resume findgrow \\
bne fgresume & \\
sta \(\$ 49\) & ;Reset Findgrow Xpos \\
sta \(\$ 4 \mathrm{a}\) & ;Reset Findgrow Ypos \\
inc \(\$ 4 \mathrm{~b}\) & ;Set findgrow flag to resume (<>0)
\end{tabular}
end)
```



```
_fgresume = *
-fgx ldx $4a ;Findgrow ypos
- ldy $49 ;Findgrow xpos
    ;NNext xpos (next round)
    ; < 40
    ; next line if >= 40
    ; load cell byte
    ; if 0 then get next xpos/byte
    ;Set Current xpos
    ;Set Current ypos
    ;Check if this can grow
    ;Reset Findgrow xpos
    ; 0->xpos
    ;Next Findgrow ypos
    ;check ypos (<25)
    ;If we're at x40y25 we are ready to play!
    ;Start game logic
growloop = *
randdir = *
    ;jsr getrand; not a func, not reused yet
```



```
    sta $028a; Ket Repeat; (injected here for #$80) just using #$80 for smaller code
        sta $d412 ;sta $d404 d412 is V3, d404 is V1!!
            sta $d40f ;set v3 random # gen bits
            lda $d41b ; read random number from v3
            and #$03 ; Force Random number to 0-3
            clc
            adc #$01 ; Add 1 to get 1-4
            sta $4c ; store rand direction
            ldy $45 ; Current Xpos
            ldx $46 ;'Current Ypos
            jsr cangrow ; Check if we can grow in that direction
            bne randdir ; if <> 0 then Try again
            sta $4b ;' reset findgrow flag (injected here for .a==0)
grow = *
    ;Get saved rand direction
    ldx $4c
    lda growinto,x ; 1-4 (4, 8, 1, 2) Get bit set for new cell
    sta ($d1),y ; write new location
    lda growfrom,x ; 1-4 (1, 2, 4, 8) Get bit to set for old
    sta $4d ; Save growfrom bit
    ldy $45 ; Reload Current xpos
    ldx $46 ; Reload Current ypos
    jsr cgloadcell ! Load base cell again
    ora $4d ; Combine with growfrom bit
    sta ($d1),y ;Modify old cell to connect to new loc
    iChange current position 
    jsr cangrow ; Get new x y again - (this will only perform next x/y adj, returns <>0)
    sty $45 ; xpos set to new location
    stx $46 ; ypos
    jmp popgridloop ; Return to populate grid loop
```

; Game Initialization and Game Loop
gameinit = *
gameloop=*
inc EBCM3 ; Flash Keys (\$d024)
inc \$58 ! Increase Speed counter \#1 (0-255)
bne moveplayer ; Skip move
inc \$57 '; Increase Speed counter \#2 (\$57|\#\$f0 - 255)
bne moveplayer ; Skip Move
; set death speed
ída $\$ 53$;Use level for Speed value
cmp \#\%11111000 ;If more than this use default speed
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { bmi } \text { dsp } \\ \text { lda } \# \% 1111000 & \text {; Default speed }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll} & \text { lda \#\%11111000 } & \text {;Default speed } \\ \text {; } & \text { dsp } & \text { ora \#\%11110000 } \\ \text {;Set high nibble so counter counts up to } 255\end{array}$
sta $\$ 57$; Set Speed counter \#2
;move death
movedeath $=$ *

| ldy $\$ 55$ | ;Baddy Xpos |
| :--- | :--- |
| ldx $\$ 56$ | ;Baddy Ypos |
| jsr cgloadcell | ; load the cell/point the zps (ANDs by \#\$1f) |
| sta (\$d1),y | ;store cleared value |

```
_newy iny # % ;increase xpos
;don't reset
;ypos of player
;ypos of death
;clear xpos counter
;Set baddy xpos
;Get all bits! (see loadcell)
;load the cell/point the zps
;Save cell value (withh all possible bits)
;and by EBCM bits
;Check for KEY - (so it can skip over)
;Jump ahead 1 more to skip key position
;Check for player hit
;Player is not dead
;Game Over!
;Reload stored value
;EBCM for Death
;store value
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{_go} & sty & \$55 \\
\hline & lda & \#\$ff \\
\hline & jsr & loadcell \\
\hline & sta & \$59 \\
\hline & and & \#\%11000000 \\
\hline & cmp & \#\%11000000 \\
\hline & beq & newy \\
\hline & cmp & \#\%01000000 \\
\hline & bne & _nodie \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{_nodie} & jmp & gameover \\
\hline & 1da & \$59 \\
\hline & ora & \#\$80 \\
\hline & sta & (\$d1) , y \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ve Player
Move Player
moveplayer=*
_ffe4 jsr $ffe4 ;Get keypress
    beg gameloop ; ; no key - goto gameloop
    tax
    lda keytodir,x ;Loads from keytodir
;Move entity in game
; .a=direction 1-up 2-right 3-down 4-left
glmove tax
            stx $4e 
    sta $4f ; store check bit
    ldy $45 ; current xpos
    ldx $46 ; current ypos
    jsr cgloadcell ; load the cell (and with #$1f)
    sta ($d1),y ; store the data (clear the EBCM)
    lda #$00 ; Bottom "fall out" fix
    sta $50 ; clear and of cangrow Bottom "fall out" fix
    lda $4e ; load direction
    jsr cangrow ; call cangrow to move xpos/ypos
    and $4f ; check bit
    bne glmyes ; if we have a bit then we can move!
ldx $46 ; reload ypos - do not move
glmyes lda #$ff ; bits to obtain from loadcell
    jsr loadcell ; load the cell/point the zps
    pha ; temp store value for later checks
    and #$1f ; clear other EBCM bits
    ora #$40 ; EBCM ORA Player/Baddy
    sta ($d1),y ; store new data
    sty $45 ; store xpos of new position
    stx $46 ; store ypos of new position
    ;Hit checks
    pla ; load previous value
    and #$c0 ; check for hits "11xxxxxx"
    cmp #$c0 ; check for key hit
    bne _back ;_notkey ; to next check
    dec $51 ;- dec number of keys left in level
    bne _back ; if 0 then we should go to the next level
    jmp init ; gen maze again
;_notkey cmp #$80 ; check for death hit!
keytodir=*
.byte 2,1,4,3
;Check if a cell can grow a direction
;1-up 2-right 3-down 4-left
; (y xpos, x ypos, a=dir) x/y switched for indirect lda($xx),y below
; return: a == 0 : true (can move)
; _ a <> 0 : false (can not move)
cangrow = *
    cmp #$01
    beq cgup
```

```
    cmp #$02
    beq cgright
    cmp #$03
    beq cgdown
    ;cmp #$04
    ;beq cgleft *** not needed falling through
    dey ;set xpos - 1
    cpy #$ff ;check xpos
    beq cgno
    bne cgloadcell
cgright =
    iny ;set xpos + 1
    cpy #$28 ;check xpos (<40)
    beq cgno
    bne cgloadcell
cgup
    dex ;set ypos - 1
    cpx #$ff ;check xpos
    beq cgno
    bne cgloadcell
cgdown =
    inx ;set ypos + 1
    cpx #$19 ;check ypos (<25)
    beq** cgno fallthrough, bne cgloadcell not needed
cgloádcell = *
    lda #$1f
```



```
    sta $50
    jsr $e9f0 ; sets $d1 $d2
; 59888 $E9F0
;Set Pointer to Screen Address of Start of Line
;This subroutine puts the address of the first byte of the screen line
;designated by the .X register into locations 209-210 ($D1-$D2).
    lda ($d1),y ;load byte (x pos in y reg!)
cgno = *
    and $50 ;#$1f = use only low 5 bits!
    ;rts see below!
; This is mixed with the rts because the first byte would
; be wasted
growfrom = *
    rts
        .byte 1
; this is part growfrom part growto 48 is used for both
; again first byte would be wasted so we over lap with the previous
growinto = *
    .byte 2, 4, 8, 1, 2
```


;Notes


## Tetrattack!

by Stephen L. Judd [sjudd@ffd2.com](mailto:sjudd@ffd2.com)
Introduction

The main point of Tetrattack!, of course, was to try and write a 3D engine in 512 bytes. I had a few other routine ideas but couldn't think of anything remotely fun to do with them, but I finally thought of a neat 3D game idea and decided to go for it. Alas, as code kept getting bigger that idea had to be discarded, and the eventual result was tetrattack, the simplest/smallest 3D game that wasn't (totally) stupid.

But it is a 3D engine in 512 bytes!
At first blush a 512-byte 3D engine might seem ridiculous but think about it: a basic 3d program doesn't need to store any graphics definitions or things like that -- it draws its own as it goes. In fact, all that is needed is a line routine, a rotation/projection routine, and some routines to keep track of angles and positions and such. What could possibly be hard about that, right?

Well, it seemed reasonable at the time, anyways. At exactly 512 bytes, the code really had to sweat out the bytes, and every single byte saved was important; meanwhile, the routines still had to be reasonably fast. So this article will discuss the line routine, the rotation/projection routine, and the object and game manipulation routines, and the various optimizations used.
I must say that writing this program really was fun; I'm sure that everyone else had the same problems I had, trying to shift from a "cycle-optimization" mindset to a "byte-optimization" mindset. Finding those extra few bytes here and there was a mighty challenge, sometimes taking hours or even days just to save one or two bytes. That part was frustrating, of course, as is the fact that the 'game' aspect of the program is thoroughly lame. But it was a neat challenge, and there was never an issue of adding too many features to the program and never finishing it!

Quick Summary
A full-on 3D program uses matrix multiplications to compute rotations, but 3D calculations get a whole lot easier in a Battlezone or driving-type situation, when you only turn left or right. There are no longer rotation matrices to keep track of -- just a single angle. This means that rotations are done with a simple INC/DEC, and there are no matrix multiplies to deal with. Rotations are done with some simple table lookups.
The line drawing routine is pretty standard. Self-modifying code is used to manipulate a single routine to draw different lines, by swapping INX/INY instructions (which turns out to be less efficient, memory-wise -sigh, oh well). Lines are plotted to a double-buffered $128 \times 128$ charmap, and once again setting up the screen took extra bytes.

The "game code" is the simplest possible -- tetrahedrons are moved by just incrementing their $z$-coordinate, and rotated by incrementing their angle. Their locations are determined by whatever zero page is initialized to -- the program never sets explicit locations. Instead, I just chose a portion of zero page that had a reasonable range of default values (default zero-page values are used extensively in the code)

In addition to default zero-page values the code uses several kernal routines (including a few unusual ones, for example the shift-C= routine for swapping charset buffers), self-modifying code, lots of zero-page instructions, and a whole bunch of tightly optimized code.

The code was written over a few weeks -- a good week of planning and writing out possible routines, and a good week or two of coding.
A couple of times I froze the program (using AR) and the freeze almost always happened in the same place: the buffer clearing routines. So the rotation and line drawing routines turned out to be fast enough, even with all the
byte savings.
The code was developed using the Sirius assembler on a 128D+SCPU -- and btw, the Jammon debugging mode has turned out to be awfully cool, with being able to visually single-step through code while simultaneously viewing memory and registers. Action Replay was downright clunky afterwards (but could freeze!).

Yep, just a plug, with a bit of amazement that it actually all worked.
I also tested it with VICE, to make sure it worked OK. VICE initializes zero page to different values than my 128, unfortunately, so some tweaking had to be done.

And that's about it. Now on to more detail.

```
Setting up
The setup routines initialize VIC, initialize tables used by the line and
rotation routines, and set up the screen. I note that when I started
planning this program I computed the sizes of line and rotation routines
without considering the code needed to set up tables and such for those
routines. Oops!
The default initial values for . X, .Y, and .A are 0 after a SYS, so the
code made a little use of this.
Line routine setup
The line routine uses tables to look up pixel addresses and bit locations for each \(x, y\) coordinate (the line routine stores the \(x\) and \(y\) coordinates directly in the. \(X\) and \(: Y\) registers, so looking up pixel addresses amounts to LDA Bitp, X kinds of instructions). The "bitmap" is a \(128 \times 128\) charmap, so the calculations are pretty easy. The bit patterns which correspond to different \(x\)-coordinates (10000000 01000000 etc.) are computed using
cmp \#\$80
rol
to cyclically rotate a single bit.
Rotation routine setup
Another little piece of code is piggybacked into this loop, which extends a sine table from 0..pi. In this program, "angles" can go from 0..63, corresponding to \(0.2^{*}\) pi; the most memory-efficient way to store the sine table is to store the values for 0..pi/2, and then extend the table to pi/2..pi. That is, angle=16 (angles go from 0..63, remember) corresponds to pi/2, so the job of extending the table amounts to copying table values 15..0 to values 17. 32 (value 16 is not copied because it is pi/2 - the values are 'mirrored' through pi/2. To put it another way: where would it be copied to?).
This amounts to starting one index register at 15 and decrementing it, while starting the other register at 17 and incrementing:
```

ldy \#15
ldx \#17
lda table, y
sta table, $x$
inx
dey
The problem here is piggybacking into a loop where . Y is initialized to ldy $\# \$ 7 F$ instead of ldy $\# 15$. The solution is to initialize . X carefully
[ldy \#\$7f]
Idx \#\$90
and use the following:
lda sin0,y
sta sin0+17,x
inx
[dey]
The idea is that when . $\mathrm{Y}=15$, . X will equal 0 and start incrementing. This
copies a lot of junk into the table that is never used but doesn't overwrite the existing 0..16 table values, and saves several bytes.

The rotation tables are tables of $r^{*}$ sin(theta), one table for every allowed value of theta=0..64 and $r=-128.127$. The idea is that every 256 -byte page contains $r^{*}$ sin(theta) for one value of theta, so that a table lookup amounts to
lda theta
ora \#>high byte of sin tables
sta $z p+1$
ldy $r$
lda (zp),y
The table values are computed using a standard shift-and-add multiply routine, with a little extra code to handle negative values of $r$. What about negative values of sin(theta), though?

Recall that theta=0..63 corresponds to 0..2*pi, but the init code only extends the sine table from 0..pi (i.e. theta=0..32). The table values for pi..2*pi are computed simply by taking the negative of the values for 0..pi:

```
sta (p4000),y
eor #$ff
clc
adc #1
STA (p6000),y
```

(The clc adc \#1 code was painful, byte-wise, but necessary). The pointers p4000 and p6000 are initialized to $\$ 4000$ and $\$ 6000$ by default -- or at least are supposed to be. Unfortunately, VICE and my 128D had different ideas about this, so a little extra code was necessary to initialize them.

A big reason for putting a table at $\$ 6000$ is that it ends at $\$ 8000$, to provide an easy exit condition for the loop:
inc p6000+1
bpl :mult8 ;to \$8000
Screen setup

A couple of things need to be done here: clear the screen, set the color RAM to the background color, and put a $16 \times 16$ block of characters in the middle of the screen (and do so in as few bytes as possible!).

Clearing the screen is a piece of cake:

```
sta $d021
sta $0286 ;clear color
jsr $e544 ;clr scr
```

The $\$ 0286$ STA is to clear the color RAM to black, and work with different kernals. (With both color RAM and background the same, pixels won't appear where they aren't wanted).
Storing a block of chars isn't quite so straightforward; rather, the straightforward methods use up a fair number of bytes, especialíy since both screen and color RAM have to be set up. Here's the method I finally came up with:

| : 12a |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | jsr | \$e8ea | $\begin{aligned} & \text {;scroll up } \\ & : A=(\$ A C) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | clc |  | ; $\mathrm{necessary?}$ |
| : 12 |  |  | ;set one line |
|  | sta | \$0720+12, $x$ |  |
|  | inc | \$db20+12, $x$ |  |
|  | inx |  |  |
|  | adc | \#16 |  |
|  | bcc | : 12 |  |
|  | inc | \$ac | adc \#\$00 |
|  | cmp | \#15 |  |
|  | bne | : 12 a |  |

Kernal routine $\$ e 8 e a$ is the routine to scroll the entire screen up one line, and disassembly shows that when it exits. A is equal to the contents of \$AC
and . X is 0. So the routine stores one line of chars to the middle of the screen, increments the corresponding color RAM (to white), and moves the screen up (chars and color RAM). By incrementing \$AC before moving the screen up (\$AC starts at zero normally), .A is re-initialized to its previous value+1, so this prints the next line of chars to the screen (that is, the previous line of chars +1 ). By doing this 16 times a $16 \times 16$ block of chars is produced, with color RAM set to white inside the block, and set to black everywhere else.

And that brings us to the main program loop.

Main Loop
------
The main loop is pretty straightforward: clear buffer, get input, update positions and angles, render the buffer, and swap buffers.

The only thing special in this process is swapping buffers via the kernal routine at $\$$ eb59 -- this is the routine that is called when $\mathrm{C}=$ and shift are held down.

There is also a lame dot that pulses to the screen when you hold the fire button down (how cool some laser lines would have been). Alas...

But that's pretty much it. So now let's talk a little about some of the optimizations that can be made for a 3D program, followed by a discussion of the line routine and the rotation routine.

3D Stuff

For detailed information on how to "do" 3D worlds I suggest reading issue \#16 of $\mathrm{C}=$ Hacking, but the basics of rendering a 3D world are:

1) figuring out where an object is, relative to you,
2) if the object is visible, computing what it looks like from your perspective, and
3) rendering the object.

To define and operate on an "object", we need to know the vertices of the object, and how to connect them together with lines, i.e. to draw the edges. The simplest object of all is a tetrahedron -- four points, and every point is connected to every other point. This turns out to be pretty important, as a lot of memory is saved by using only tetrahedrons. To see why, firs't consider what the code to draw edges from a list of vertices must look like:
rotate points
:loop
ldx \#number_of_edges
stx temp
ldy edge_vertex1,x ;index into some list of vertices
lda edge_vertex2, x
tax
jsr drawline
ldx temp
dex
bpl :loop
Now consider a cube; in my original code idea, I was going to use cubes and tetrahedrons (pyramids). A cube has eight vertices and 12 edges. Each vertex has an $x, y$, and $z$ coordinate, and from the code above each edge needs two bytes, one for each vertex of the edge. 48 bytes, just to store a cube -- almost $1 / 10$ of the entire 512-byte code! (Using code to generate the vertices is possible, but still takes a fair number of bytes.)

Compare this to the humble tetrahedron, which only requires twelve bytes: three for each vertex. What about the edges? Remember that every point is connected to every other point in a tetrahedron. This means that we do not have to store the edge connections, but can compute them manually, and draw the whole thing using the following code:
[count = 3]

```
jsr DrawLine
pla
tay
bne :l2
dec count
bne :ploop
```

This code simply draws lines between all vertices: 3-2, 3-1, 3-0, and so on. It uses pretty much the same number of bytes as the earlier code, but doesn't require any storage of the edge connections (12 bytes to store the connections).

Next consider the vertices of a tetrahedron. It turns out that by carefully selecting the vertices, default zero-page values can be used, something that isn't possible with e.g. a cube. Consider the following tetrahedron vertices:

| $P x=$ | 0, | -4, | 16, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $P y$ | -4 |  |  |

Pz = 0, 16, 0, -16
(just the vertices of a more or less regular tetrahedron which $I$ drew on a piece of paper). The trick is to arrange these coordinates in the right way.

Location \$ae/\$af is used by the load routine (among others) to store to memory while loading; at the end of a load, this location contains the end address+1. And, it is surrounded by zeros:
$>C: 00 a b \quad 00000000 \quad 000000$ 3c 0300000000000000
which means that by engineering the end address right we can store two coordinates here. In this case, I chose the end address+1 to be \$10f0, which stores \$f0 and \$10 in \$ae/\$af -- -16 and 16, two of the Pz values, the other two being zero.

This was my original plan anyways, until I re-discovered that the screen setup routine altered the value of \$ac (the kernal routine to move the screen up exits with. A=\$ac, so incrementing it re-initializes. A in every loop iteration.) on the other hand, it increments it 16 times, so that after the load and the init the $z p$ values are
>C:00ab 001000 f0 10 ..
The values 001000 f0 are exactly the $P z$ values above: 0, 16, 0, -16. Careful study of default zero-page values then shows

This is pretty much Py, except for the first value -- so all the code has to do is store a 16 at location $\$ 40$, and it turns out the screen init loop ends with . X=\$10. So, one STX does the job, saving yet more bytes. Moreover, by locating the vertices in zero page, zero-page instructions can be used to access the point lists, saving even more bytes.

Now, the above are the vertices, but what about the _centers_, i.e. the object locations? Once again, to save space I just used some default zero-page values, with the $x$-coordinates at $\$ 14$ and the $z-c o o r d i n a t e s ~ a t ~ \$ 7 d$. The reason these were chosen is pretty straightforwards. Since objects just move 'downstream' with increasing $z$-coordinate, the $z$-coords should be somewhat spread out; the values at \$7d are:
>C:007d 3a b0 0a c9 20 f0 ef 38 e9 3038 e9 d0 $60804 f$
which are somewhat spread-out. The $x$-coords, on the other hand, need to be clustered around 0 . The camera (you) is located at $x=0$, and if objects have a large value for the center x-coordinate they are way off to the side (you've probably noticed a few objects like this). Location $\$ 14$ has some zeros and some nonzero numbers that are near zero, i.e. that cluster around zero:
>C:0014 $00001916 \quad 00$ 0a 76 a3 $00000000 \quad 00 \quad 0076$ a3
Not great values, but good enough -- beggars can't be choosers.
Of course, poking different values into locations 20 and above will put the tetrahedrons in different places.

Rotations are done very simply, using tables. As outlined earlier, there is a complete set of tables of $r *$ sin(theta). At first blush, the 'rnatural' way of setting up these tables would be to have a table of 1*sin(theta) in one page, a table of $2^{*}$ sin(theta) in the next page, and so on, maybe fitting a table of cos(theta) in the same page. The location of $r^{*} \cos (t h e t a)$ would then be given by

```
            page = offset+256*r (e.g. $40+r for tables starting at $4000)
            value = page+theta
```

It makes a lot more sense, though, to instead let _theta_ be the page index,
and let each page contain $r^{*}$ sin(theta) for different values of _r_; the lookup
is then

```
page = offset+256*theta (e.g. $5300 for theta=$13)
    value = page+r
```

For one thing, the pointer setup is very easy - there's only one value
of theta to set up, instead of different values of $r$ (for different $x, y$
coordinates). For another, the entire range $r=-128.127$ can be used,
which means the same tables can be used to rotate object _centers_ in
addition to object _vertices_.
(If you recall programs like lib3d, the object centers are 16-bit signed values and have their own rotation routine, whereas the object vertices are limited to -96..95 and have a separate, optimized rotation routine; in this case, both the vertices and centers are eight-bit signed numbers.)
This all means that rotations (e.g. y* $\cos (t h e t a) ~-~ x * s i n(t h e t a)) ~ a m o u n t ~ t o ~$
LDY Py, X
LDA (cos), Y
LDY Px, X
SEC
SBC (sin), Y
to rotate a point.
Now, the usual 3D world calculations look like:
rotate object centers
rotate vertices, add to rotated center, and project
Consider rotating the z-coordinate; the code will look like

|  | LDA (cos), Y |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | LDY PX,X |
|  | SEC (sin), Y |
| RotM1 | CLC |
| ADC $C Z$ |  |

that is: rotate vertex (z*cos(theta) - $x * \sin (t h e t a)$ ) and add to center (ADC CZ). Here's the great part: if we instead want to rotate the _center_ of the object, we want to _store_ the rotated point in CZ ; the code would look like

```
LDA (cos),Y
LDY Px,X
SEC
SBC (sin),Y
STA CZ
```

This is exactly the same code as above, except the ADC is changed to a STA. Therefore only one routine is needed, using self-modifying code to decide whether to STA the center coordinate or ADC it. In the code, the appropriate instruction is passed into the routine in the .Y register.

There's one other thing to be mentioned about the rotation routine. The rotation tables are of $r^{*}$ sin(theta), and $r^{*} \cos (t h e t a)$ is of course done by adding pi/2 to the theta-coordinate (theta+16 in the program). There are two ways to do this -- add 16 to the theta coordinate and correct any overflow (AND \#63, for example), or extend the tables by an extra pi/2.
Byte-wise, the first method is the best, but check this out: instead of adding pi/2, we can also subtract $3^{*} \mathrm{pi} / 2$. The setup code then becomes:

RotProj

ORA \#>SINTAB
STA sin+1
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { SBC \#\$2F } & ;-3^{*} \mathrm{pi} / 4 & \\ & ; & \text { ADC \#\$10 } \\ & \text { AND \#\$3F }\end{array}$
ORA \#>SINTAB
STA cos+1
The trick works here because of the ORA \#>SINTAB, which is \$4000 -- this allows negative angles to work, by borrowing higher bits. Consider:

(C is clear in the SBC above). By using an SBC, angles automatically wrap around from $\$ 8000$ to $\$ 4000$. This saves two bytes over the ADC \#\$10 AND \#\$3F method (commented out above). Every byte counts!!!

Projections

The easiest way to do projections, once again, is via tables of $f(x, z)=x / z$, much like the tables of $f(r$, theta $=r * \sin (t h e t a)$. Alas, the setup code to generate these tables took up too much space, so the projects are computed 'manually', using a shift-and-add division routine.

Now, it turns out that the routine really computes $64 * x / z$-- the 64 is the magnification factor. The nice thing about a shift-and-add routine is that multiplying by 64 is possible just by changing the number of shifts. So the routine adds an extra 6 shifts into the division loop - a little inefficient cycle-wise, but pretty thrifty byte-wise.

Drawing lines

Obviously, to render an object we need a line drawing routine. The line routine in the program is pretty standard, and described in several earlier issues of C=Hacking, so I won't go into too much detail. Most of the paragraphs below are just random tidbits, so feel free to skip any (there won't be a test).

The simplest and most efficient routines draw to a charmap -- you can read about this in detail in $C=H a c k i n g \# 8$ and beyond - - since the . Y register maps directly to the $y$-coordinate, and the column-offsets are easy to compute. The biggest charmap available is $128 \times 128$, so that's what I used.

Overall, the line routine is pretty small, while being reasonably fast. The plot routine is 'dumb', and recalculated for every pixel, but the recalculation itself is fairly efficient, with a few table lookups. It draws into a $128 \times 128$ buffer and can handle off-screen coordinates, and the buffer can be anywhere (that it, it supports a double-buffer display). So, I think it turned out OK, all things considered.

With that background, here's the main line drawing loop:

| plot pha |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pha |  |
|  | tya |  |
|  | bmi | calcline |
|  | lda | bitphi, x |
|  | ora | base |
|  | sta | point+1 |
|  | lda | bitplo, x |
|  | sta | point |
|  | lda | bitp, $\times$ |
|  | beq | calcline |
|  | ora | (point), y |
|  | sta | (point), y |
| calcline | pla |  |
| mod2 | sbc | \#dx |
|  | bcs | mod5 |
| mod3 | adc | \#dy |
| $\bmod 4$ | inx |  |
| $\bmod 5$ | iny |  |
|  | dec | temp |

The basic line routine iteration goes like

```
plot \(x, y\)
\(y=y+1\)
\(a=a-d x\)
if \(a<0\) then \(a=a+d y, x=x+1\)
```

for slope>1. The code for slope<1 is exactly the same, except for
swapping $x$ and $y$, and $d x$ and $d y$. Therefore, $I$ just used one routine and self-modifying code to set INX and INY etc. in the right places (mod2, mod3, mod4, and mod5 above). In retrospect this was not smart, and I think it would have saved 5 or 6 bytes to use two routines, and jsr to the plot routine -- the routines to set up the self-modifying lines take more space than the basic iteration.

The $x$ and $y$ coordinates are stored directly in the $x$ and . $y$ registers. This makes the plotting really easy and relatively fast, and works well with the $128 \times 128$ charmap.

Off-screen points are a must, so the allowed coordinates are -64..192, giving 64 pixels to either side of the $128 \times 128$ visible area. Checking for these is easy, since the high bit will be set for coords <0 and >127. These are checked in the plot routine. The $y$-coordinate is checked directly, using tya; the x-coordinate is checked for range by use of the bitp table -this table contains the bit pattern for the x-coordinate (\%1000000 \%01000000 etc.), and all of the values for $x>127$ are set to zero. Once again, in retrospect it would have been more memory-efficient to do this with txa (two more bytes), but darn it all, SOMETHING has to be at least a little bit cycle efficient here! Old habits die hard!

The line routine always draws from left to right, which means the
initialization routine calculates $d x=x 2-x 1$, and if $d x<0$ then it swaps the two endpoints. This creates a problem when coordinates are $-64 . .192$ though; after subtracting $x 2$ and $x 1$ do you check the negative bit, or the carry bit? Cases like x1=-1, $x 2=1$ should work, so the carry bit is useless; on the other hand, long lines, say $x 1=1, x 2=130$, should also work, so the high bit is also useless: The solution $I$ used was to offset coordinates by +64 (and once again, in retrospect...).

The point coordinates are stored in two lists, one of $x$-coords and one of $y$-coords. This means that the endpoints are simply indexes into those point lists, i.e.:

```
xlist contains list of x-coords; ylist list of y-coords
xlist,x and ylist,x contain left endpoint
xlist,y and ylist,y contain right endpoint
```

That simplified a lot of things -- the lists can be in zero-page, swapping coordinates is very simple, and so on.

The routine is double-buffered -- flickery single-buffers are just too painful. Since $\$ 2000$ and $\$ 2800$ are the locations of the two charset buffers, the current buffer is stored in zero-page variable \$ce ("base" in the plot code above), which starts out initialized to \#\$20 (location $\$ 81$ would also work) -- one more variable to not initialize.

So, in summary: a pretty standard line routine, with a few memory optimizations thrown in.

Wrap-up
-
Well, that's about it. As you can see, the code really runs on the edge --zero-page has to be initialized correctly, little bits and pieces are missing from certain routines, things have to line up just right... but it works! There are undoubtably other places where bytes can be saved, of course, but I think it does a pretty good job of shaving bytes overall.

See you at next year's contest!
*

* tetrattack!
* Mini-3D rendering package

```
* - Motion in the x-z plane => rotations about one axis only
* - Plots to charmap
* SLJ 9/01
    org $0ef0
NUMOBJS = 9
temp = $02
dy =$04
point = $05
AUX = $03
ACC = $04
CX 
rottemp = $09
zpoint = $0a
zpoint2 = $0c
count = $0e
angle = $0f
cenx = $14
Py = $40
XCoord = $50
YCoord = $60
theta= $70
cenz = $7d
    ; $ac taken by screen setup routine
    ; final value = $10
Pz = $ab
base = $ce ;$20 initially
p4000 = $8f 
tagged = $e0
sin = $f7 ;0 low byte
curobj = $ff
```



```
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
DEY & \(=\$ 88\) \\
INY & \(=\$ c 8\) \\
INX & \(=\$ \mathrm{e} 8\) \\
ADC & \(=\$ 65\) \\
STA & \(=\$ 85\)
\end{tabular}
start
    sta $8f ;set up p4000
** Set up VIC
```

sta \$d021
sta $\$ 0286$;clear color
jsr \$e544
lda \#\$18
sta \$d018

## ;clr scr

* 
* Line drawing tables

```
    ldx \#\$90
    ldy \#\$7f
    lda \#\$01
    sta bitp,y
    cmp \#\$80
    rol
    pha
    tya
    lsr
    lsr
    lsr
    lsr
    sta bitphi,y
    lda \#00
    sta bitp+128,y ;0 = dont draw
    ror
    sta bitplo,y
* Extend sin table to 0.. 31
    lda sin0,y
    sta sin0+17, \(x\)
    inx
    pla
    dey
    bp1 :l1
        ; \(\cdot \mathrm{y}=\$ \mathrm{ff}\)
            ;. \(\mathrm{x}=\$ 10\)
* Set up sin tables
InitRot
    iny
    sty p6000 ;rats : (
                \(\begin{array}{lll}; & \text { ldy \#00 } & \text {;rats : } \\ ; & \text { sty temp } & \\ ;: 13 & \text { ldx rottemp } & \\ \vdots & \text { lda sin0, } & \text { sta aux } \\ ;: 14 & & \\ \vdots & \text { clin(theta) } \\ ; & \text { jsr MULT8 } & ; . Y * \text { AUX }\end{array}\)
; AUX*.Y -> ACC, .A (low,hi) 16 -bit result
; AUX, .Y unaffected
! . Y can be negative
```

:Mult8
sty temp

| ;dumb multiply |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sty | acc |
|  | lda | \#00 |
|  | tay |  |
| ;:mloop | clc |  |
|  | adc | sin0-\$10, x |
| ; | bcc | :skip |


| ; | iny |
| :--- | :--- |
| ; skip | dec acc |
| ; | bne :mloop |

sty acc
lda \#00
ldy \#9
$\begin{array}{ll}: m l o o p & \text { Clc } \\ & \text { ror acc } \\ & \text { ror acc } \\ & \text { bcc :mul2 }\end{array}$
; dec sin0 $\begin{gathered}\text { cle } \\ \text { table }\end{gathered}$ by 1 instead
adc sin0-\$10, $x$
$:$ mul2 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { dey } \\ \text { bne } & \text { adoop }\end{array}$
ldy temp
bpl :pos
sec
sbc sin0-\$10,x
:pos
sta (p4000),y
eor \#\$ff
clc
adc \#1
STA (p6000),y
iny bne :mults
inx
inc p4000+1
inc p6000+1
bpl :mult8

```
;to $8000
;.x=$20
;.y=0
```

* Set up Screen
: 12a
$\begin{array}{ll}: 12 & \text { clc } \\ & \text { sta } \$ 0720+12, x\end{array}$
inc \$db20+12,x
inx
adc \#16
bcc :l2
inc \$ac
cmp \#15
bne :l2a
: done
stx $\$ 40$
; $\mathrm{X}=\$ 10$
; rats : (
* Main program loop:
*     - get input
        - update positions/angles
        - render
        -             - swap buffers

```
; Clear buffer and swap
```



Rot

```
lda theta,x
adc theta
sta angle
lda theta
ldx #4
ldy #STA
jsr RotProj
lda cz
sbc #14
```

```
bmi :skip
bcs NoDraw
cmp cx
bmi NoDraw
adc cx
bmi NoDraw
; If in view, rotate project & plot
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& dex count \\
:loop & stx count \\
& lda angle \\
& ldy \#ADC \\
& jsr RotProj \\
& sta YCoord, \(x\) \\
& dex \\
& bpl :loop
\end{tabular}
                            ; Plot
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
:ploop & ldy count & \\
& ldx count & \\
& dey & \\
& tya & \\
& pha & \\
& jsr DrawLine & \\
& tay & \\
& bne :l2 & \\
& dec count \\
bne :ploop & \(; \cdot y=0\)
\end{tabular}
                                    ; Update
lda tagged,x
beq :zip
lda cx
ora tagged
sta tagged,x
dfb $2c
:zip inc theta,x
cpx #NUMOBJS
bcc ObjLoop
jsr $eb59 ;Swap buffer
jmp MainLoop
* Line routine
* - Draws from L to R
* - Forces dx>0 (x1 < x2)
* - Numbers are +128 offset, to allow
* - DX and DY to be 0..255 for long lines
* - Uses same core routine for stepinx
    and stepiny by changing variables
* On input:
* .X = index into point list, point 1
* .Y = index of point 2
Pswap
    sty temp
    txa
    tay
    ldx temp
DrawLine
lda xcoord,y
sec
sbc xcoord,x
bcc Pswap ;x2 >= x1
sta dx
```

|  | lda ycoord, y |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sbc ycoord, $x$ | ;dy = |  |  |
|  | bcs :posy |  |  |  |
|  | ldy \#DEY |  |  |  |
|  | eor \#\$ff |  |  |  |
|  | adc \#1 |  |  |  |
|  | dfb \$2c |  |  |  |
| : posy | ldy \#INY |  |  |  |
|  | sta dy |  |  |  |
|  | cmp dx |  |  |  |
|  | lda \#INX |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | bcs stepi |  |
|  | bcs : noswap |  |  |  |
|  | tya |  |  |  |
|  | ldy \#INX |  |  |  |
| : noswap | sty mod5 |  |  |  |
|  | sta mod4 |  |  |  |
| stepinx |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ; | sta mod5 <br> sty mod4 | ;INY/DEY |
|  | lda dy |  |  |  |
|  | ldy dx |  |  |  |
|  | bcc mod |  |  |  |
| stepiny |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ; | sta mod4 sty mod5 | ny/dey |
|  | lda dx |  |  | ;iny/dey |
|  | ldy dy |  |  |  |
| mod |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ; | sty mod1+ |  |
|  | sty temp |  |  |  |
|  | sty mod3+1 |  |  |  |
|  | sta mod2+1 |  |  |  |
| Draw |  |  |  |  |
|  | lda ycoord, x |  |  |  |
|  | sec |  |  |  |
|  | sbc \#64 |  |  |  |
|  | tay |  |  |  |
|  | lda xcoord, x |  |  |  |
|  | sec |  |  |  |
|  | sbc \#64 |  |  |  |
|  | tax |  |  |  |
|  |  | ; mod1 | lda \#dy |  |
|  | lda temp |  |  |  |
|  | beq done | $; \text { no st }$ | sta temp |  |
|  | lsr |  |  |  |
| plot |  |  |  |  |
|  | pha |  |  |  |
|  | tya |  |  |  |
|  | bmi calcline |  |  |  |
|  | lda bitphi,x |  |  |  |
|  | ora base |  |  |  |
|  | sta point+1 |  |  |  |
|  | lda bitplo,x |  |  |  |
|  | sta point |  |  |  |
|  | lda bitp,x |  |  |  |
|  | beq calcline |  |  |  |
|  | ora (point), y |  |  |  |
| calclin | sta (point), y |  |  |  |
|  | pla |  |  |  |
| $\bmod 2$ | sbc \#dx |  |  |  |
|  | bcs mod5 |  |  |  |
| mod3 | adc \#dy |  |  |  |
| $\bmod 4$ | inx |  |  |  |
| $\bmod 5$ | iny |  |  |  |
|  | dec temp |  |  |  |
| done | bne plot |  |  |  |
|  | rts |  |  |  |
| * |  |  |  |  |
| * Rotate | and project poin |  |  |  |
| * On ent | y: |  |  |  |
| $\text { * } \cdot x=$ | index into poin | list |  |  |
| $\text { * } . \hat{A}=$ | rotation angle |  |  |  |
| * $\cdot \mathrm{Y}=$ | ADC/STA for poi | /cente |  |  |
| * CX,CZ | $=$ location of | ject |  |  |

```
* On exit:
* Points stored in xcoord,x ycoord,x
RotProj
    AND #$3F
    ORA #>SINTAB
    STA sin+1
    SBC #$2F
    ORA #>SINTAB
    STA cos+1
    STY RotM1
    STY RotM2
RotProj2
    LDY Pz,X
    LDA (sin),Y
    PHA
    LDA (cos),Y
    LDY Px,X
    SEC (sin),Y
    CLC (sin),Y
RotM1 ADC CZ
    STA aux
```



```
* Signed division routine
* 64*.A/AUX -> .A
* Only valid for .Y/AUX < 1
* .A pos or neg, AUX > 0
* AUX, .X unaffected
DIV8
    php 
                                    ; STY ACC
pos
ROL
CMP AUX
BCC :DIV2
SBC AUX
INC ACC
:DIV2
DEY
BNE :DLOOP
;RTS
lda acc
plp : pos2
eor #$ff
:pos2
eor #$80 ;+128 offset
```

rts

* Point list
* Last point is for relative cx,cz

; note: px+4 intrudes on table below
* 128*sin(t), t=0.. 15
* Table must be at end of code!

$$
\text { dfb } 179,196,211,224,235,243,249,253,255
$$

end
......
...
$\because \quad$ - fin -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {; sin0 dfb 0,13,25,37,49,60,71,81 } \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
\vdots & d f b \\
j & d f b \\
j 18,122,126,127,128
\end{array} \\
& \text {;sin0 dfb 0,25,50,74,98,120,142,162 }
\end{aligned}
$$

